Attachment 2: Agency Comments

Newberg Planning Commission – June 8, 2023 File No. MAMD323-0001

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LAND USE APPLICATION REFERRAL

Bateman The enclosed material has been referred to you for your information and comment. Any comments you wish to make should be returned to the Community Development Department prior to: March 30, 2023 Please refer questions and comments to: Clay Downing_

NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to pro | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | MAR 20 REC'D |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | Ву |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Com | munity Commercial) |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

Reviewed, no conflict.

Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

Meeting requested.

Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed) SEE ATTACHED 200KS BATSMM 3/12/23

BROOKS BATSMM

Reviewed By:

Newberg

Date:

<u> BUILDING</u> Organization:

Below is the code section regarding wheelchair only parking spaces, once we reach 100 parking spaces, for the whole project, a wheelchair only space must be provided.

| TOTAL PARKING SPACES PROVIDED IN PARKING FACILITIES | REQUIRED MINIMUM NUMBER OF ACCESSIBLE SPACES | NUMBER OF VAN ACCESSIBLESPACES | "WHEELCHAIR USER ONLY" SPACES |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| 1 to 25 | 1 | 1 | _ |
| 26 to 50 | 2 | 1 | _ |
| 51 to 75 | 3 | 1 | _ |
| 76 to 100 | 4 | 1 | _ |
| 101 to 150 | 5 | _ | 1 |
| 151 to 200 | 6 | - | 1 |
| 201 to 300 😽 | 7 | _ | 2 |
| 301 to 400 | 8 | - | 2 |
| 401 to 500 | 9 | - | 2 |
| 501 to 1,000 | 2% of total | - | 1 in every 6 accessible spaces or portion thereof |
| 1,001 and over | 20, plus one for each 100, or fraction thereof, over 1.000 | - | 1 in every 6 accessible spaces or portion thereof |

TABLE 1106.2 ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACES

Brooks Bateman, CBO

Building Official

City of Newberg



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | RECEIVED | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | 3/16/2023 | |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | Dutosi | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Com | munity Commercial) | |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By:

Date:

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT LAND USE APPLICATION REFERRAL

The enclosed material has been referred to you for your information and comment. Any comments you wish to make should be returned to the Community Development Department prior to: March 30, 2023 Please refer questions and comments to: Clay Downing

NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies |
|-----------------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Community Commercial) |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

Reviewed, no conflict.

Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

Meeting requested.

Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By: <u>Control of Members</u> Organization:

4/11/23

Date: 1. Blog # 7 more than 7 spaces in a row 2. Check is hing planfor compliance 3. Check density point calculations 4. PUD Homeowners Association



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | RECEIVED |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | 3/16/23 |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Comr | nunity Commercial) |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By:

Date:



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | | |
|-----------------|--|----------------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | RECEIVED | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | 3/20/2023 | |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | Datesi | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Communi | ty Commercial) | |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By:

Date:



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | RECEIVED | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | RECEIVED | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | 3/16/2023 | |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | batesf | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Com | munity Commercial) | |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By:

Date:

City of Newberg - Operations



ENGINEERING COMMENTS April 7, 2023

| FILE NO: | MAMD 323-0001 |
|-----------|--|
| REQUEST: | Major Modification of PUD/Conditional Use – Crestview Crossing |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Drive |

SITE INFORMATION:

Access and Transportation: Access to the proposed development is provided from E Jory Street west of E Crestview Drive. Both streets are newly built as part of Phase 1 of the existing Crestview Crossing PUD. E Crestview Drive is classified as a major collector under the jurisdiction of the City of Newberg. E Jory Street is classified as a minor collector under the jurisdiction of the City of Newberg. Emergency vehicle access is also proposed to E Crestview Drive near the northeast corner of the site and to Vittoria Way through the adjacent Spring Meadow Park near the southwest corner of the development site.

Utilities:

Water: There is an existing public 8-inch water line stubbed to the property in the extension of E Jory Street off the roundabout. Fire flow will need to be confirmed by a fire flow test.

Wastewater: There is an existing public 10-inch wastewater collection line stubbed to the property in the extension of E Jory Street off the roundabout.

Stormwater: There is an existing private 18-inch storm drain line crossing E Crestview Drive north of E Jory Street connecting to the private storm drain system within Phase 1 of the existing Crestview Crossing PUD.

Overhead Lines: Any new connection the property will need to be undergrounded. See NMC 15.430.010 for exception provisions.

Chapter 15.220 Site Design Review
15.220.030 Site design review requirements.
B. Type II. The following information is required to be submitted with all Type II applications for site design review:

13. Roadways and Utilities. The proposed plans shall indicate any public improvements that will be constructed as part of the project, including, but not limited to, roadway and utility improvements.

Finding: Public improvements adjacent to the property are complete.

This criterion is not applicable.

14. Traffic Study. A traffic study shall be submitted for any project that generates in excess of 40 trips per p.m. peak hour. This requirement may be waived by the director when a determination is made that a previous traffic study adequately addresses the proposal and/or when off-site and frontage improvements have already been completed which adequately mitigate any traffic impacts and/or the proposed use is not in a location which is adjacent to an intersection which is functioning at a poor level of service. A traffic study may be required by the director for projects below 40 trips per p.m. peak hour where the use is located immediately adjacent to an intersection functioning at a poor level of service. The traffic study shall be conducted according to the City of Newberg design standards. [Ord. 2619, 5-16-05; Ord. 2451, 12-2-96. Code 2001 § 151.192.]

Finding: The submitted materials include a Technical Memorandum from Zachary Bugg, PhD, and Diego Arguea, PE from Kittelson & Associates. The memo describes how the requested modification replacing 105 single family homes with 196 apartment units effects traffic impacts on the adjacent roadway system. It references a transportation impact analysis performed in 2018 for the original application for a PUD/Conditional use at the proposed project location for comparison. The new memo describes traffic counts based on the 10th and 11th editions of the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation manual as each is relevant for each application's time period. The comparison of the originally approved PUD/Conditional use and the requested modification shows additional weekday trips, but there is a decrease in the trips occurring during the pm peak hour.

This criterion is met.

Chapter 15.430 Underground Utility Installation

15.430.010 Underground utility installation.

A. All new utility lines, including but not limited to electric, communication, natural gas, and cable television transmission lines, shall be placed underground. This does not include surface-mounted transformers, connections boxes, meter cabinets, service cabinets, temporary facilities during construction, and high-capacity electric lines operating at 50,000 volts or above.

B. Existing utility lines shall be placed underground when they are relocated, or when an addition or remodel requiring a Type II design review is proposed, or when a developed area is annexed to the city.

C. The director may make exceptions to the requirement to underground utilities based on one or more of the following criteria:

1. The cost of undergrounding the utility is extraordinarily expensive.

2. There are physical factors that make undergrounding extraordinarily difficult.

3. Existing utility facilities in the area are primarily overhead and are unlikely to be changed. [Ord. 2537, 11-6-00. Code 2001 § 151.589.]

Finding: The submitted plans show utilities installed underground.

This criterion is met.

Chapter 15.505 Public Improvement Standards

15.505.010 Purpose.

This chapter provides standards for public infrastructure and utilities installed with new development, consistent with the policies of the City of Newberg comprehensive plan and adopted city master plans. The standards are intended to minimize disturbance to natural features, promote energy conservation and efficiency, minimize and maintain development impacts on surrounding properties and neighborhoods, and ensure timely completion of adequate public facilities to serve new development. [Ord. 2810 § 2 (Exhs. B, C), 12-19-16.]

15.505.020 Applicability.

The provision and utilization of public facilities and services within the City of Newberg shall apply to all land developments in accordance with this chapter. No development shall be approved unless the following improvements are provided for prior to occupancy or operation, unless future provision is assured in accordance with NMC 15.505.030(E).

Finding: All improvements reviewed under this application are identified in the NMC 15.505 section specific to them and are conditioned to comply with the Public Works Design and Construction Standards in those sections.

This criterion is met.

A. Public Works Design and Construction Standards. The design and construction of all improvements within existing and proposed rights-of-way and easements, all improvements to be maintained by the city, and all improvements for which city approval is required shall comply with the requirements of the most recently adopted Newberg public works design and construction standards.

Finding: The submitted plans show the existing public improvements completed under the approved PUD/Conditional use project. The proposed plans show connections to public utilities. The applicant will be required to submit final plans which meet the requirements of the Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards as part of the public improvements permit application.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

B. Street Improvements. All projects subject to a Type II design review, partition, or subdivision approval must construct street improvements necessary to serve the development.

Finding: The street improvements required under the approved PUD/Conditional use project have been completed adjacent to this site. No further street improvements are required.

This criterion is not applicable.

C. Water. All developments, lots, and parcels within the City of Newberg shall be served by the municipal water system as specified in Chapter 13.15 NMC.

Finding: The submitted plans show a connection to the water line stubbed to the property line at the termination of E Jory Street.

This criterion is met.

D. Wastewater. All developments, lots, and parcels within the City of Newberg shall be served by the municipal wastewater system as specified in Chapter 13.10 NMC.

Finding: The submitted plans show a connection to the wastewater line stubbed to the property line at the termination of E Jory Street.

This criterion is met.

E. Stormwater. All developments, lots, and parcels within the City of Newberg shall manage stormwater runoff as specified in Chapters 13.20 and 13.25 NMC.

Finding: The submitted material include a new preliminary stormwater management plan prepared by Kathleen Freemen, PE of 3J Consulting. The findings of this report will be discussed in section NMC *15.505.050* below.

This criterion is met.

F. Utility Easements. Utility easements shall be provided as necessary and required by the review body to provide needed facilities for present or future development of the area.

Finding: The submitted plans show a 10-foot public utility easement proposed along the entire frontage of the property. <u>The 10-foot proposed easement shown on the preliminary plans will</u> need to be included on the final plat prior to final plat approval.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

G. City Approval of Public Improvements Required. No building permit may be issued until all required public facility improvements are in place and approved by the director, or are otherwise bonded for in a manner approved by the review authority, in conformance with the provisions of this code and the Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards. [Ord. 2810 § 2 (Exhs. B, C), 12-19-16.]

Finding: <u>Any required public improvement permit(s) for this project must be submitted</u>, approved, and issued prior to building permits being issued.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

15.505.030 Street standards. A. Purpose. The purpose of this section is to:

1. Provide for safe, efficient, and convenient multi-modal transportation within the City of Newberg.

2. Provide adequate access to all proposed and anticipated developments in the City of Newberg. For purposes of this section, "adequate access" means direct routes of travel between destinations; such destinations may include residential neighborhoods, parks, schools, shopping areas, and employment centers.

3. Provide adequate area in all public rights-of-way for sidewalks, wastewater and water lines, stormwater facilities, natural gas lines, power lines, and other utilities commonly and appropriately placed in such rights-of-way. For purposes of this section, "adequate area" means space sufficient to provide all required public services to standards defined in this code and in the Newberg public works design and construction standards.

B. Applicability. The provisions of this section apply to:

1. The creation, dedication, and/or construction of all public streets, bike facilities, or pedestrian facilities in all subdivisions, partitions, or other developments in the City of Newberg.

2. The extension or widening of existing public street rights-of-way, easements, or street improvements including those which may be proposed by an individual or the city, or which may be required by the city in association with other development approvals.

3. The construction or modification of any utilities, pedestrian facilities, or bike facilities in public rights-of-way or easements.

4. The designation of planter strips. Street trees are required subject to Chapter 15.420 NMC.

5. Developments outside the city that tie into or take access from city streets.

C. Layout of Streets, Alleys, Bikeways, and Walkways. Streets, alleys, bikeways, and walkways shall be laid out and constructed as shown in the Newberg transportation system plan. In areas where the transportation system plan or future street plans do not show specific transportation improvements, roads and streets shall be laid out so as to conform to previously approved subdivisions, partitions, and other developments for adjoining properties, unless it is found in the public interest to modify these patterns. Transportation improvements shall conform to the standards within the Newberg Municipal Code, the Newberg public works design and construction standards, the Newberg transportation system plan, and other adopted city plans.

D. Construction of New Streets. Where new streets are necessary to serve a new development, subdivision, or partition, right-of-way dedication and full street improvements shall be required. Three-quarter streets may be approved in lieu of full street improvements when the city finds it to be practical to require the completion of the other one-quarter street improvement when the adjoining property is developed; in such cases, three-quarter street improvements may be allowed by the city only where all of the following criteria are met:

1. The land abutting the opposite side of the new street is undeveloped and not part of the new development; and

2. The adjoining land abutting the opposite side of the street is within the city limits and the urban growth boundary.

Finding: Streets adjacent to the proposed modification of the approved PUD/Conditional use have been improved.

This criterion is met.

E. Improvements to Existing Streets.

1. All projects subject to partition, subdivision, or Type II design review approval shall dedicate right-of-way sufficient to improve the street to the width specified in subsection (G) of this section.

2. All projects subject to partition, subdivision, or Type II design review approval must construct a minimum of a three-quarter street improvement to all existing streets adjacent to, within, or necessary to serve the development. The director may waive or modify this requirement where the applicant demonstrates that the condition of existing streets to serve the development meets city standards and is in satisfactory condition to handle the projected traffic loads from the development. Where a development has frontage on both sides of an existing street, full street improvements are required.

3. In lieu of the street improvement requirements outlined in NMC 15.505.040(B), the review authority may elect to accept from the applicant monies to be placed in a fund dedicated to the future reconstruction of the subject street(s). The amount of money deposited with the city shall be 100 percent of the estimated cost of the required street improvements (including any associated utility improvements), and 10 percent of the estimated cost for inflation. Cost estimates used for this purpose shall be based on preliminary design of the constructed street provided by the applicant's engineer and shall be approved by the director.

Finding: Improvements to existing streets required by the approved PUD/Conditional use have been completed. No further improvements to existing streets will be required.

This criterion is met.

F. Improvements Relating to Impacts. Improvements required as a condition of development approval shall be roughly proportional to the impact of the development on public facilities and services. The review body must make findings in the development approval that indicate how the required improvements are roughly proportional to the impact. Development may not occur until required transportation facilities are in place or guaranteed, in conformance with the provisions of this code. If required transportation facilities cannot be put in place or be guaranteed, then the review body shall deny the requested land use application.

Finding: The improvements completed as part of the approved PUD/Conditional use addressed those impacts. The requested modification does not increase impacts on any public facility except the storm system. The improvements proposed are roughly proportional to the additional run off created by the modification from single family residential to a multifamily use.

This criterion is met.

G. Street Width and Design Standards.

1. Design Standards. All streets shall conform with the standards contained in Table 15.505.030(G). Where a range of values is listed, the director shall determine the width based on a consideration of the total street section width needed, existing street widths, and existing development patterns. Preference shall be given to the higher value. Where values may be modified by the director, the overall width shall be determined using the standards under subsections (G)(2) through (10) of this section.

| Type of Street | Right-of-Way Width | Curb-to-Curb Pavement Width | Motor Vehicle Travel Lanes | Median Type | Striped Bike Lane (Both Sides) | On-Street Parking |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Arterial Streets | | | | | | |
| Expressway** | ODOT | ODOT | ODOT | ODOT | ODOT | ODOT |
| Major arterial | 95 – 100 feet | 74 feet | 4 lanes | TWLTL or median* | Yes | No* |
| Minor arterial | 69 – 80 feet | 48 feet | 2 lanes | TWLTL or median* | Yes | No* |
| Collectors | · | | | | | |
| Major | 57 – 80 feet | 36 feet | 2 lanes | None* | Yes | No* |
| Minor | 61 – 65 feet | 40 feet | 2 lanes | None* | Yes* | Yes* |
| Local Streets | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Local residential | 54 – 60 feet | 32 feet | 2 lanes | None | No | Yes |
| Limited residential, parking both sides | 44 – 50 feet | 28 feet | 2 lanes | None | No | Yes |
| Limited residential, parking one side | 40 – 46 feet | 26 feet | 2 lanes | None | No | One side |
| Local commercial/ industrial | 55 – 65 feet | 34 feet | 2 lanes | None* | No* | Yes* |

Table 15.505.030(G) Street Design Standards

* May be modified with approval of the director. Modification will change overall curb-to-curb and right-of-way width. Where a center turn lane is not required, a landscaped median shall be provided instead, with turning pockets as necessary to preserve roadway functions.

** All standards shall be per ODOT expressway standards.

2. Motor Vehicle Travel Lanes. Collector and arterial streets shall have a minimum width of 12 feet.

a. Exception.

i. Minimum Lane width of 11 feet along S River Street from E First Street to E Fourteenth Street.

3. Bike Lanes. Striped bike lanes shall be a minimum of six feet wide. Bike lanes shall be provided where shown in the Newberg transportation system plan.

a. Exception.

i. Minimum striped bike lane width of six feet with a one-foot wide buffer along S River Street from E First Street to the bypass.

4. Parking Lanes. Where on-street parking is allowed on collector and arterial streets, the parking lane shall be a minimum of eight feet wide.

a. Exception.

i. Minimum parking lane width of seven feet along S River Street from the bypass to E Fourteenth Street.

5. Center Turn Lanes. Where a center turn lane is provided, it shall be a minimum of 12 feet wide.

6. Limited Residential Streets. Limited residential streets shall be allowed only at the discretion of the review authority, and only in consideration of the following factors:

a. The requirements of the fire chief shall be followed.

b. The estimated traffic volume on the street is low, and in no case more than 600 average daily trips.

c. Use for through streets or looped streets is preferred over cul-de-sac streets.

d. Use for short blocks (under 400 feet) is preferred over longer blocks.

e. The total number of residences or other uses accessing the street in that block is small, and in no case more than 30 residences.

f. On-street parking usage is limited, such as by providing ample off-street parking, or by staggering driveways so there are few areas where parking is allowable on both sides.

7. Sidewalks. Sidewalks shall be provided on both sides of all public streets. Minimum width is five feet.

a. Exception.

i. Twelve-foot-wide sidewalks, inclusive of the curb, with tree wells along S River Street from the bypass to E Fourteenth Street.

ii. Twelve-foot-wide shared-use path and four-foot buffer, inclusive of the curb, with tree wells along the east side of S River Street from the bypass to E Fourteenth

8. Planter Strips. Except where infeasible, a planter strip shall be provided between the sidewalk and the curb line, with a minimum width of five feet. This strip shall be landscaped in accordance with the standards in NMC 15.420.020. Curb-side sidewalks

may be allowed on limited residential streets. Where curb-side sidewalks are allowed, the following shall be provided:

a. Additional reinforcement is done to the sidewalk section at corners.

b. Sidewalk width is six feet.

9. Slope Easements. Slope easements shall be provided adjacent to the street where required to maintain the stability of the street.

10. Intersections and Street Design. The street design standards in the Newberg public works design and construction standards shall apply to all public streets, alleys, bike facilities, and sidewalks in the city.

11. The planning commission may approve modifications to street standards for the purpose of ingress or egress to a minimum of three and a maximum of six lots through a conditional use permit.

Finding: Street improvements were completed as part of the approved PUD/Conditional use. No further street improvements are required for the requested PUD modification.

These criteria are not applicable.

H. Modification of Street Right-of-Way and Improvement Width. The director, pursuant to the Type II review procedures of Chapter 15.220 NMC, may allow modification to the public street standards of subsection (G) of this section, when the criteria in both subsections (H)(1) and (2) of this section are satisfied:

1. The modification is necessary to provide design flexibility in instances where:

a. Unusual topographic conditions require a reduced width or grade separation of improved surfaces; or

b. Lot shape or configuration precludes accessing a proposed development with a street which meets the full standards of this section; or

c. A modification is necessary to preserve trees or other natural features determined by the city to be significant to the aesthetic character of the area; or

d. A planned unit development is proposed and the modification of street standards is necessary to provide greater privacy or aesthetic quality to the development.

2. Modification of the standards of this section shall only be approved if the director finds that the specific design proposed provides adequate vehicular access based on anticipated traffic volumes.

Finding: Street improvements were completed as part of the approved PUD/Conditional use. No further street improvements are required for the requested PUD modification.

These criteria are not applicable.

I. Temporary Turnarounds. Where a street will be extended as part of a future phase of a development, or as part of development of an abutting property, the street may be terminated with a temporary turnaround in lieu of a standard street connection or circular cul-de-sac bulb. The director and fire chief shall approve the temporary turnaround. It shall have an all-weather surface, and may include a hammerhead-type turnaround meeting fire apparatus access road standards, a paved or graveled circular turnaround, or a paved or graveled temporary access road. For streets extending less than 150 feet and/or with no significant access, the director may approve the street without a temporary turnaround. Easements or right-of-way may be required as necessary to preserve access to the turnaround.

Finding: Street improvements were completed as part of the approved PUD/Conditional use. No further street improvements are required for the requested PUD modification.

This criterion is not applicable.

J. Topography. The layout of streets shall give suitable recognition to surrounding topographical conditions in accordance with the purpose of this code.

Finding: Street improvements were completed as part of the approved PUD/Conditional use. No further street improvements are required for the requested PUD modification.

This criterion is not applicable.

K. Future Extension of Streets. All new streets required for a subdivision, partition, or a project requiring site design review shall be constructed to be "to and through": through the development and to the edges of the project site to serve adjacent properties for future development.

Finding: The requested PUD modification includes the plans submitted for a mulitfamily development which is accessed at a termination of E Jory Street. This development blocks any connection of E Jory Street to the west. The connection is not possible, however, with the development pattern of the property to the west. That property has adequate connection opportunities. Additional connection is not required.

This criterion is met.

L. Cul-de-Sacs.

1. Cul-de-sacs shall only be permitted when one or more of the circumstances listed in this section exist. When cul-de-sacs are justified, public walkway connections shall be provided wherever practical to connect with another street, walkway, school, or similar destination.

a. Physical or topographic conditions make a street connection impracticable. These conditions include but are not limited to controlled access streets, railroads, steep slopes, wetlands, or water bodies where a connection could not be reasonably made.

b. Buildings or other existing development on adjacent lands physically preclude a connection now or in the future, considering the potential for redevelopment.

c. Where streets or accessways would violate provisions of leases, easements, or similar restrictions.

d. Where the streets or accessways abut the urban growth boundary and rural resource land in farm or forest use, except where the adjoining land is designated as an urban reserve area.

Finding: E Jory Street is not practical to extend west for a street connection due to the existing development pattern of the property to the west. Meeting the criteria 15.505.030(L)(1)(a) and (b).

This criterion is met.

2. Cul-de-sacs shall be no more than 400 feet long (measured from the centerline of the intersection to the radius point of the bulb).

3. Cul-de-sacs shall not serve more than 18 single-family dwellings.

Each cul-de-sac shall have a circular end with a minimum diameter of 96 feet, curb-to-curb, within a 109-foot minimum diameter right-of-way. For residential uses, a 35-foot radius may be allowed if the street has no parking, a mountable curb, curbside sidewalks, and sprinkler systems in every building along the street.

Finding: While the applicant has not proposed a cul-de-sac, for the end of E Jory Street that is not practical to extend west for a street connection due to the existing development pattern of the property to the west, a cul-de-sac or other public turnaround is required. <u>The applicant will need to submit revised plans that include a cul-de-sac meeting the requirements of NMC Section</u> 15.505.030(L) with driveways to the northern and southern portions of the site. Alternatively, the

applicant could propose an alternative configuration to achieve a public turnaround at the west end of E Jory Street that also provides access to the northern and southern portions of the site.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

M. Street Names and Street Signs. Streets that are in alignment with existing named streets shall bear the names of such existing streets. Names for new streets not in alignment with existing streets are subject to approval by the director and the fire chief and shall not unnecessarily duplicate or resemble the name of any existing or platted street in the city. It shall be the responsibility of the land divider to provide street signs.

N. Platting Standards for Alleys.

1. An alley may be required to be dedicated and constructed to provide adequate access for a development, as deemed necessary by the director.

2. The right-of-way width and paving design for alleys shall be not less than 20 feet wide. Slope easements shall be dedicated in accordance with specifications adopted by the city council under NMC 15.505.010 et seq.

3. Where two alleys intersect, 10-foot corner cut-offs shall be provided.

4. Unless otherwise approved by the city engineer where topographical conditions will not reasonably permit, grades shall not exceed 12 percent on alleys, and centerline radii on curves shall be not less than 100 feet.

5. All provisions and requirements with respect to streets identified in this code shall apply to alleys the same in all respects as if the word "street" or "streets" therein appeared as the word "alley" or "alleys" respectively.

O. Platting Standards for Blocks.

1. Purpose. Streets and walkways can provide convenient travel within a neighborhood and can serve to connect people and land uses. Large, uninterrupted blocks can serve as a barrier to travel, especially walking and biking. Large blocks also can divide rather than unite neighborhoods. To promote connected neighborhoods and to shorten travel distances, the following minimum standards for block lengths are established.

2. Maximum Block Length and Perimeter. The maximum length and perimeters of blocks in the zones listed below shall be according to the following table. The review body for a subdivision, partition, conditional use permit, or a Type II design review may require installation of streets or walkways as necessary to meet the standards below.

| Zone(s) | Maximum Block Length | Maximum Block Perimeter |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| R-1 | 800 feet | 2,000 feet |
| R-2, R-3, RP, I | 1,200 feet | 3,000 feet |

3. Exceptions.

a. If a public walkway is installed mid-block, the maximum block length and perimeter may be increased by 25 percent.

b. Where a proposed street divides a block, one of the resulting blocks may exceed the maximum block length and perimeter standards provided the average block length and perimeter of the two resulting blocks do not exceed these standards.

c. Blocks in excess of the above standards are allowed where access-controlled streets, street access spacing standards, railroads, steep slopes, wetlands, water bodies, preexisting development, ownership patterns or similar circumstances restrict street and walkway location and design. In these cases, block length and perimeter shall be as small as practical. Where a street cannot be provided because of these circumstances but a public walkway is still feasible, a public walkway shall be provided.

d. Institutional campuses located in an R-1 zone may apply the standards for the institutional zone.

e. Where a block is in more than one zone, the standards of the majority of land in the proposed block shall apply.

f. Where a local street plan, concept master site development plan, or specific plan has been approved for an area, the block standards shall follow those approved in the plan. In approving such a plan, the review body shall follow the block standards listed above to the extent appropriate for the plan area.

Finding: The block lengths in the approved PUD/Conditional use are less than the maximum allowed. The requested modification does not increase the block lengths.

This criterion is met.

4. Public Pedestrian Walkways and Bicycle Access. The approval authority in approving a land use application with conditions may require a developer to provide an access way where the creation of a street consistent with street spacing standards is infeasible and the creation of a cul-de-sac or dead-end street is unavoidable. A public walkway provides a connection through a block that is longer than established standards or connects the end of the street to another right-of-way or a public access easement. A public walkway shall be contained within a public right-of-way or public access easement, as required by the

city. A public walkway shall be a minimum of 10 feet wide and shall provide a minimum six-foot-wide paved surface or other all-weather surface approved by the city (see subsection (S) of this section for public walkway standards).

Design features should be considered that allow access to emergency vehicles but that restrict access to non-emergency motorized vehicles.

Finding: The block lengths planned in the approved PUD/Conditional use were adequate. The requested modification does not alter the block lengths. The applicant has proposed pedestrian connections to the adjacent Spring Meadow Park. For the proposed pedestrian walkways within the development connecting public rights-of-way to the adjacent Spring Meadow Park, the public pedestrian walkways are to be a minimum of 6-feet wide with public access easements to be included on the final plat. See Section 15.505.030(S) for additional findings and conditions.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

P. Private Streets. New private streets, as defined in NMC 15.05.030, shall not be created, except as allowed by NMC 15.240.020(L)(2).

Finding: The requested modification does not include private streets.

This criterion is not applicable.

Q. Traffic Calming.

1. The following roadway design features may be required in new street construction where traffic calming needs are anticipated:

- a. Serpentine alignment.
- b. Curb extensions.
- c. Traffic diverters/circles.
- d. Raised medians and landscaping.
- e. Other methods shown effective through engineering studies.

2. Traffic-calming measures such as speed humps should be applied to mitigate traffic operations and/or safety problems on existing streets. They should not be applied with new street constructions.

Finding: A roundabout at E Crestview and E Jory Street was approved with the original PUD/Conditional use. No further traffic calming is required for the requested PUD modification.

This criterion is not applicable.

R. Vehicular Access Standards.

1. Purpose. The purpose of these standards is to manage vehicle access to maintain traffic flow, safety, roadway capacity, and efficiency. They help to maintain an adequate level of service consistent with the functional classification of the street. Major roadways, including arterials and collectors, serve as the primary system for moving people and goods within and through the city. Access is limited and managed on these roads to promote efficient through movement. Local streets and alleys provide access to individual properties. Access is managed on these roads to maintain safe maneuvering of vehicles in and out of properties and to allow safe through movements. If vehicular access and circulation are not properly designed, these roadways will be unable to accommodate the needs of development and serve their transportation function.

2. Access Spacing Standards. Public street intersection and driveway spacing shall follow the standards in Table 15.505.R below. The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) has jurisdiction of some roadways within the Newberg city limits, and ODOT access standards will apply on those roadways.

| Roadway Functional Classification | Area ¹ | Minimum Public Street Intersection Spacing (Feet) ² | Driveway Setback from Intersecting Street ³ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| Expressway | All | Refer to ODOT Access Spacing Standards | NA |
| Major arterial | Urban CBD | Refer to ODOT Access Spacing Standards | |
| Minor arterial | Urban CBD | 500 200 | 150 100 |
| Major collector | All | 400 | 150 |
| Minor collector | All | 300 | 100 |

Table 15.505.R. Access Spacing Standards

¹ "Urban" refers to intersections inside the city urban growth boundary outside the central business district (C-3 zone).

"CBD" refers to intersections within the central business district (C-3 zone).

"All" refers to all intersections within the Newberg urban growth boundary.

² Measured centerline to centerline.

| Roadway Functional | Minimum Public Street | Driveway Setback from |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Classification Area ¹ | Intersection Spacing (Feet) ² | Intersecting Street ³ |

³ The setback is based on the higher classification of the intersecting streets. Measured from the curb line of the intersecting street to the beginning of the driveway, excluding flares. If the driveway setback listed above would preclude a lot from having at least one driveway, including shared driveways or driveways on adjoining streets, one driveway is allowed as far from the intersection as possible.

Finding: The requested PUD modification takes access off a termination of E Jory Street. The location of E Jory Street met the access spacing standards in the approved PUD/Conditional use. The requested PUD modification does not alter the access spacing.

This criterion is met.

3. Properties with Multiple Frontages. Where a property has frontage on more than one street, access shall be limited to the street with the lesser classification.

a. For a duplex, triplex or quadplex dwelling or a cottage cluster project with frontage on two local streets, access may be permitted on both streets.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development has frontage on OR99W and E Jory Street. Access is taken off E Jory Street which is the lesser classification.

This criterion is met.

4. Driveways. More than one driveway is permitted on a lot accessed from either a minor collector or local street as long as there is at least 40 feet of lot frontage separating each driveway approach. More than one driveway is permitted on a lot accessed from a major collector as long as there is at least 100 feet of lot frontage separating each driveway approach.

a. For a duplex, triplex or quadplex dwelling or a cottage cluster project, more than one driveway is permitted on a lot accessed from either a minor collector or local street as long as there is at least 22 feet of lot frontage separating each driveway approach.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development has only one access.

This criterion is not applicable.

5. Alley Access. Where a property has frontage on an alley and the only other frontages are on collector or arterial streets, access shall be taken from the alley only. The review body may allow creation of an alley for access to lots that do not otherwise have frontage on a public street provided all of the following are met:

a. The review body finds that creating a public street frontage is not feasible.

b. The alley access is for no more than six dwellings and no more than six lots.

c. The alley has through access to streets on both ends.

d. One additional parking space over those otherwise required is provided for each dwelling. Where feasible, this shall be provided as a public use parking space adjacent to the alley.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development does not include allies.

This criterion is not applicable.

6. Closure of Existing Accesses. Existing accesses that are not used as part of development or redevelopment of a property shall be closed and replaced with curbing, sidewalks, and landscaping, as appropriate.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development is new and has no existing access.

This criterion is not applicable.

7. Shared Driveways.

a. The number of driveways onto arterial streets shall be minimized by the use of shared driveways with adjoining lots where feasible. The city shall require shared driveways as a condition of land division or site design review, as applicable, for traffic safety and access management purposes. Where there is an abutting developable property, a shared driveway shall be provided as appropriate. When shared driveways are required, they shall be stubbed to adjacent developable parcels to indicate future extension. "Stub" means that a driveway temporarily ends at the property line, but may be accessed or extended in the future as the adjacent parcel develops. "Developable" means that a parcel is either vacant or it is likely to receive additional development (i.e., due to infill or redevelopment potential).

b. Access easements (i.e., for the benefit of affected properties) and maintenance agreements shall be recorded for all shared driveways, including pathways, at the time of final plat approval or as a condition of site development approval.

c. No more than four lots may access one shared driveway, with the exception of cottage dwellings on individual lots that are part of a cottage cluster.

d. Shared driveways shall be posted as no parking fire lanes where required by the fire marshal.

e. Where three or more lots share one driveway, one additional parking space over those otherwise required shall be provided for each dwelling. Where feasible, this

shall be provided as a common use parking space adjacent to the driveway. However, duplex, triplex, quadplex, townhouse and cottage dwellings with shared driveways shall be exempt from this standard.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development does not include a shared driveway to a separate lot.

This criterion is not applicable.

8. Frontage Streets and Alleys. The review body for a partition, subdivision, or design review may require construction of a frontage street to provide access to properties fronting an arterial or collector street.

Finding: The proposed multifamily development will take access off an existing street.

This criterion is not applicable.

9. ODOT or Yamhill County Right-of-Way. Where a property abuts an ODOT or Yamhill County right-of-way, the applicant for any development project shall obtain an access permit from ODOT or Yamhill County.

Finding: The approved PUD/Conditional use had the necessary approvals from Yamhill County and ODOT. The applicant has not proposed a vehicular access to ODOT right-of-way.

This criterion is not applicable.

10. Exceptions. The director may allow exceptions to the access standards above in any of the following circumstances:

a. Where existing and planned future development patterns or physical constraints, such as topography, parcel configuration, and similar conditions, prevent access in accordance with the above standards.

b. Where the proposal is to relocate an existing access for existing development, where the relocated access is closer to conformance with the standards above and does not increase the type or volume of access.

c. Where the proposed access results in safer access, less congestion, a better level of service, and more functional circulation, both on street and on site, than access otherwise allowed under these standards.

Finding: No exceptions are required.

This criterion is not applicable.

11. Where an exception is approved, the access shall be as safe and functional as practical in the particular circumstance. The director may require that the applicant submit a traffic study by a registered engineer to show the proposed access meets these criteria.

Finding: No exceptions are required.

This criterion is not applicable.

S. Public Walkways.

1. Projects subject to Type II design review, partition, or subdivision approval may be required to provide public walkways where necessary for public safety and convenience, or where necessary to meet the standards of this code. Public walkways are meant to connect cul-de-sacs to adjacent areas, to pass through oddly shaped or unusually long blocks, to provide for networks of public paths according to adopted plans, or to provide access to schools, parks or other community destinations or public areas. Where practical, public walkway easements and locations may also be used to accommodate public utilities.

2. Public walkways shall be located within a public access easement that is a minimum of 15 feet in width.

3. A walk strip, not less than 10 feet in width, shall be paved in the center of all public walkway easements. Such paving shall conform to specifications in the Newberg public works design and construction standards.

4. Public walkways shall be designed to meet the Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.

5. Public walkways connecting one right-of-way to another shall be designed to provide as short and straight of a route as practical.

6. The developer of the public walkway may be required to provide a homeowners' association or similar entity to maintain the public walkway and associated improvements.

7. Lighting may be required for public walkways in excess of 250 feet in length.

8. The review body may modify these requirements where it finds that topographic, preexisting development, or similar constraints exist.

Finding: The applicant has proposed pedestrian connections to the adjacent Spring Meadow Park. For the proposed pedestrian walkways within the development connecting public rights-ofway to the adjacent Spring Meadow Park, the public pedestrian walkways and associated improvements are to be maintained by a homeowners' association or similar entity. See Section 15.505.030(O)(4) for additional findings and conditions.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

T. Street Trees. Street trees shall be provided for all projects subject to Type II design review, partition, or subdivision. Street trees shall be installed in accordance with the provisions of NMC 15.420.010(B)(4).

Finding: PLANNING

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to. *or* This criterion is not applicable. *or* This criterion is met.

U. Street Lights. All developments shall include underground electric service, light standards, wiring and lamps for street lights according to the specifications and standards of the Newberg public works design and construction standards. The developer shall install all such facilities and make the necessary arrangements with the serving electric utility as approved by the city. Upon the city's acceptance of the public improvements associated with the development, the street lighting system, exclusive of utility-owned service lines, shall be and become property of the city unless otherwise designated by the city through agreement with a private utility.

Finding: The original PUD/Conditional use included an approved lighting plan. The modification does not require further public streetlights.

This criterion is not applicable.

V. Transit Improvements. Development proposals for sites that include or are adjacent to existing or planned transit facilities, as shown in the Newberg transportation system plan or adopted local or regional transit plan, shall be required to provide any of the following, as applicable and required by the review authority:

1. Reasonably direct pedestrian connections between the transit facility and building entrances of the site. For the purpose of this section, "reasonably direct" means a route that does not deviate unnecessarily from a straight line or a route that does not involve a significant amount of out-of-direction travel for users.

2. A transit passenger landing pad accessible to disabled persons.

3. An easement of dedication for a passenger shelter or bench if such facility is in an adopted plan.

4. Lighting at the transit facility. [Ord. 2889 § 2 (Exh. B §§ 43 – 45), 12-6-21; Ord. 2880 § 2 (Exh. B §§ 51, 52), 6-7-21; Ord. 2871 § 3 (Exh. D), 3-1-21; Ord. 2862 § 1 (Exh. A § 1), 6-15-20; Ord. 2822 § 1 (Exh. A), 2-5-18; Ord. 2810 § 2 (Exhs. B, C), 12-19-16; Ord. 2763 § 1 (Exh. A § 19), 9-16-13; Ord. 2736 § 1 (Exh. A §§ 1, 3, 4), 3-21-11; Ord. 2619, 5-16-05; Ord. 2513, 8-2-99; Ord. 2507, 3-1-99; Ord. 2494, 4-6-98; Ord. 2451, 12-2-96. Code 2001 §§ 151.681, 151.683, 151.684 – 151.686, 151.689 – 151.692, 151.694, 151.695, 151.701 – 151.703, 151.705.]

Finding: Yamhill County Transit (YCT) has indicated that there is a YCT stop along the ODOT Highway 99W frontage of the site and has requested a landing pad at this stop. <u>The applicant is required to comply with Yamhill County Transit (YCT) requirements for a landing pad at the YCT stop along the site's Highway 99W frontage.</u>

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

15.505.040 Public utility standards.

A. Purpose. The purpose of this section is to provide adequate services and facilities appropriate to the scale and type of development.

B. Applicability. This section applies to all development where installation, extension or improvement of water, wastewater, or private utilities is required to serve the development or use of the subject property.

C. General Standards.

1. The design and construction of all improvements within existing and proposed rightsof-way and easements, all improvements to be maintained by the city, and all improvements for which city approval is required shall conform to the Newberg public works design and construction standards and require a public improvements permit.

2. The location, design, installation and maintenance of all utility lines and facilities shall be carried out with minimum feasible disturbances of soil and site. Installation of all proposed public and private utilities shall be coordinated by the developer and be approved by the city to ensure the orderly extension of such utilities within public right-of-way and easements.

D. Standards for Water Improvements. All development that has a need for water service shall install the facilities pursuant to the requirements of the city and all of the following standards. Installation of such facilities shall be coordinated with the extension or improvement of necessary wastewater and stormwater facilities, as applicable.

1. All developments shall be required to be linked to existing water facilities adequately sized to serve their intended area by the construction of water distribution lines, reservoirs and pumping stations which connect to such water service facilities. All necessary easements required for the construction of these facilities shall be obtained by the developer and granted to the city pursuant to the requirements of the city.

2. Specific location, size and capacity of such facilities will be subject to the approval of the director with reference to the applicable water master plan. All water facilities shall conform with city pressure zones and shall be looped where necessary to provide adequate pressure and fire flows during peak demand at every point within the system in the development to which the water facilities will be connected. Installation costs shall remain entirely the developer's responsibility.

3. The design of the water facilities shall take into account provisions for the future extension beyond the development to serve adjacent properties, which, in the judgment of the city, cannot be feasibly served otherwise.

4. Design, construction and material standards shall be as specified by the director for the construction of such public water facilities in the city.

Finding: The submitted plans show the proposed multifamily development connecting to the water line stubbed out in the termination of E Jory Street. <u>The applicant will be required to submit final plans for the water connection which meet the requirements of the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards with the public works improvement permit application.</u>

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

E. Standards for Wastewater Improvements. All development that has a need for wastewater services shall install the facilities pursuant to the requirements of the city and all of the following standards. Installation of such facilities shall be coordinated with the extension or improvement of necessary water services and stormwater facilities, as applicable.

1. All septic tank systems and on-site sewage systems are prohibited. Existing septic systems must be abandoned or removed in accordance with Yamhill County standards.

2. All properties shall be provided with gravity service to the city wastewater system, except for lots that have unique topographic or other natural features that make gravity wastewater extension impractical as determined by the director. Where gravity service is impractical, the developer shall provide all necessary pumps/lift stations and other improvements, as determined by the director.

3. All developments shall be required to be linked to existing wastewater collection facilities adequately sized to serve their intended area by the construction of wastewater lines which connect to existing adequately sized wastewater facilities. All necessary easements required for the construction of these facilities shall be obtained by the developer and granted to the city pursuant to the requirements of the city.

4. Specific location, size and capacity of wastewater facilities will be subject to the approval of the director with reference to the applicable wastewater master plan. All wastewater facilities shall be sized to provide adequate capacity during peak flows from

the entire area potentially served by such facilities. Installation costs shall remain entirely the developer's responsibility.

5. Temporary wastewater service facilities, including pumping stations, will be permitted only if the director approves the temporary facilities, and the developer provides for all facilities that are necessary for transition to permanent facilities.

6. The design of the wastewater facilities shall take into account provisions for the future extension beyond the development to serve upstream properties, which, in the judgment of the city, cannot be feasibly served otherwise.

7. Design, construction and material standards shall be as specified by the director for the construction of such wastewater facilities in the city.

Finding: The submitted plans show a connection to the existing wastewater collection line that extends from the termination of E Jory Street into the property. <u>The applicant will be required to submit final plans for the wastewater connection which meet the requirements of the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards with the public works improvement permit application.</u>

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to. *F. Easements. Easements for public and private utilities shall be provided as deemed necessary by the city, special districts, and utility companies. Easements for special purpose uses shall be of a width deemed appropriate by the responsible agency. Such easements shall be recorded on easement forms approved by the city and designated on the final plat of all subdivisions and partitions. Minimum required easement width and locations are as provided in the Newberg public works design and construction standards. [Ord. 2810 § 2 (Exhs. B, C), 12-19-16.]*

Finding: The submitted plans show a 10-foot public utility easement along the frontage of the entire property. There is also a 10-foot wall easement shown in front of the PUE along the frontage south of E Jory Street. <u>The applicant will be required to record all required and needed easements prior to approval of the final plat and/or include all required and needed easements on the final plat.</u>

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

15.505.050 Stormwater system standards.

A. Purpose. The purpose of this section is to provide for the drainage of surface water from all development; to minimize erosion; and to reduce degradation of water quality due to sediments and pollutants in stormwater runoff.

B. Applicability. The provisions of this section apply to all developments subject to site development review or land division review and to the reconstruction or expansion of such developments that increases the flow or changes the point of discharge to the city stormwater system. Additionally, the provisions of this section shall apply to all drainage facilities that

impact any public storm drain system, public right-of-way or public easement, including but not limited to off-street parking and loading areas.

C. General Requirement. All stormwater runoff shall be conveyed to a public storm wastewater or natural drainage channel having adequate capacity to carry the flow without overflowing or otherwise causing damage to public and/or private property. The developer shall pay all costs associated with designing and constructing the facilities necessary to meet this requirement.

Finding: The submitted plans propose a proprietary stormwater treatment/detention system to manage run off from a portion of the multifamily development proposed. A portion of the runoff from the new impervious area was already accounted for in the stormwater management methods approved as part of the original PUD/Conditional use. The proposed proprietary system is shown discharging to an existing private storm line at the northwest corner of the intersection of E Crestview Drive and E Jory Street. This private storm line connects to the private storm drain system within Phase 1 of the existing Crestview Crossing PUD. The applicant will be required to submit final plans for the proposed private stormwater management system which meet the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards with permit applications.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

D. Plan for Stormwater and Erosion Control. No construction of any facilities in a development included in subsection (B) of this section shall be permitted until an engineer registered in the State of Oregon prepares a stormwater report and erosion control plan for the project. This plan shall contain at a minimum:

1. The methods to be used to minimize the amount of runoff, sedimentation, and pollution created from the development both during and after construction.

2. Plans for the construction of stormwater facilities and any other facilities that depict line sizes, profiles, construction specifications, and other such information as is necessary for the city to review the adequacy of the stormwater plans.

3. Design calculations shall be submitted for all drainage facilities. These drainage calculations shall be included in the stormwater report and shall be stamped by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Oregon. Peak design discharges shall be computed based upon the design criteria outlined in the public works design and construction standards for the city.

Finding: The submitted material includes a preliminary stormwater management plan completed by Kathleen Freeman, PE of 3J Consulting. The plan describes the use of a proprietary stormwater treatment system called BayFilter Manhole with seven BF-545 media cartridges proposed to treat a portion of the new impervious area created by the multifamily development proposed. The other portion is accounted for in the stormwater pond approved with the original PUD/Conditional use. The report cites site constraints, grading constraints, and onsite

infiltration infeasibility as reasons for not implementing Low Impact Development Approaches. The report also assumes the soils onsite are classified as D soil with the lowest infiltration potential. The applicant will be required to submit a final stormwater report with permit applications. This includes demonstrating compliance with the stormwater facility hierarchy described in Section 4.6.8 of the Public Works Design and Construction Standards. The report is also to demonstrate that the combined stormwater management methods from the approved PUD/Conditional use and the requested PUD modification to build multifamily housing instead of single-family housing meet the requirements of the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards.

The applicant will be required to obtain a DEQ 1200 C erosion control permit prior to any ground disturbing activity.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

E. Development Standards. Development subject to this section shall be planned, designed, constructed, and maintained in compliance with the Newberg public works design and construction standards. [Ord. 2810 § 2 (Exhs. B, C), 12-19-16.]

Finding: The submitted preliminary stormwater management plan appears to comply with the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards. <u>The applicant will be</u> required to submit final construction plans for the stormwater management system which meet the requirements of the City of Newberg Public Works Design and Construction Standards with the permit application.

This criterion will be met if the aforementioned condition of approval is adhered to.

Clay Downing

| From: | KNECHT Casey <casey.knecht@odot.oregon.gov></casey.knecht@odot.oregon.gov> | |
|----------|--|--|
| Sent: | Wednesday, March 22, 2023 9:10 PM | |
| То: | Clay Downing | |
| Cc: | WILLIAMS Brandon; PLANNING; Zachary Bugg; Diego Arguea | |
| Subject: | ODOT Comments for City of Newberg MAMD323-0001 - Crestview Crossing | |

This email originated from outside the City of Newberg's organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Clay,

No issues with the modification from 110 single-family detached to 196 multi-family. We looked at revised trip generation memo and we agree that the change will not have enough of an impact on vehicular traffic to require additional mitigation beyond what was completed for the original development.

Thanks,

Casey Knecht, P.E. Development Review Coordinator ODOT Region 2



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | | |
|-----------------|--|---------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | 3/16/23 | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | 5/10/25 | |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Community Commercial) | | |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

Reviewed By:

Date:



NOTE: Full size plans are available at the Community Development Department Office.

| APPLICANT: | JT Smith Comanies | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|--|
| REQUEST: | Modification to Crestview Crossing Ph2 to provide 196 Multi-Family | | |
| | apartments instead of 110 Small-lot SF | RECEIVED | |
| LOCATION: | OR 99W & E Crestview Dr | 3/16/2023 | |
| TAX LOT: | R3216AC 13800 | batesf | |
| FILE NO: | MAMD323-0001 | | |
| ZONE: | R-2(Residential Medium Density) & C-2(Community Commercial) | | |
| HEARING DATE: | 5/11/2023 | | |

Link to the Project Information is below: https://www.newbergoregon.gov/planning/page/mamd323-0001-major-modification-cup-and-pud-0

_____ Reviewed, no conflict.

_____ Reviewed; recommend denial for the following reasons:

_____ Require additional information to review. (Please list information required)

_____ Meeting requested.

_____ Comments. (Attach additional pages as needed)

ynthia Thompson

Reviewed By:

Date:



2050 NE Lafayette Ave. Suite C McMinnville, Oregon 97128 503-474-4900 or 503-538-7433 www.ycbus.org

March 15, 2023

City of Newberg Attn: Fe' Bates 414 E First Street Newberg, OR 97132



Dear Newberg planning department,

This appears to be the development across the street from Providence hospital. There is an issue with this development as it relates to public transportation.

It may be too late but if there is a possibility to discuss or address this issue it would be in the best interest of the residents of this development and Yamhill County Transit (YCT). The road in front of this development is an ODOT highway. The new sidewalk has catch basin areas for water run off that includes landscaping, trees, and plants etc. There is no place for the bus to stop and deploy the ramp for a person with a disability or even for an able-bodied person to step off the bus without stepping on the planting strip.

Below is the location of the current YCT stop.

Stop ID "784527","Newberg - WB Hwy 99W & Providence Dr -Providence H",45.309968,-122.936094 https://goo.gl/maps/JyoW5m2yKSYKKb3c6

Is it possible to have the developer or ODOT or the City of Newberg add a concrete square near this location so the bus can stop and passengers may de-board without stepping into dirt. The next possible stop is at the next road and YCT will need to block the road or at the Xfinity driveway for riders to board and de-board. If this is possible, please contact me and I will provide the specifications for the concrete pad or it could be another material as long as it provides a stable place for boarding and de-boarding.

Cynthía

Cynthia Thompson Transit Manager Yamhill County Transit 2050 NE Lafayette Avenue, Suite C McMinnville, Oregon 97128 <u>thompsonc@co.yamhill.or.us</u> 503-474-4910