

AGENDA

Newberg Housing Needs Analysis Project Advisory Committee Meeting #3

3/14/2019

3:00 PM – 5:00 PM

Location: Newberg City Hall 414 E. First Street

3 – 3:15 p.m.	Introductions, PAC meeting #2 minutes approval	Keshia Owens/Doug Rux
3:15 – 4:00 p.m.	Discussion of preliminary needed housing mix and redevelopment potential assumptions	Bob Parker
4:00 – 4:50 p.m.	Continue discussion of potential new housing policies	Bob Parker
4:50 – 5:00 p.m.	Next Steps - Draft HNA report PAC 4: April 11	Bob Parker



**HOUSING NEEDS ANALYSIS PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
February 27, 2019
Permit Center Conference Room, Newberg City Hall
414 E First Street**

Chair Walker called the meeting to order at 3:06 pm.

ROLL CALL

Members present: Curt Walker, Chair Jessica Cain, Vice Chair
 Charlie Harris Jack Kriz
 Todd Engle Kevin Young, DLCD Project Manager

Members absent: Gregg Koskela, Chuck Sabin, Corey Zielsdorf,, Angela Carnahan (DLCD Rep), Sam Espindola, Yanira Vera, Stephanie Findley, Rick Rogers, Mike Corey, Council Liaison

Staff present: Keshia Owens (Assistant Planner), Doug Rux (Community Development Director)

Consultants: Bob Parker (EcoNW)

PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

INTRODUCTIONS

Members provided brief introductions about themselves.

OVERVIEW OF HOUSING NEEDS ANALYSIS

Consultant Parker provided an update of the Housing Needs Analysis and noted the various factors affecting the demand and affordability of housing in Newberg. These factors include age, household income, sales price, cost burden, and household composition among others.

Consultant Parker said that cities are required to plan for needed housing types like single family attached and detached as well as multifamily units. He provided data that showed the percentage of detached homes and multifamily units have decreased, while single family attached homes have increased. He explained that tenure remained the same from 2000-2010 and 2012-2016 and added that the number of building permits decreased after 2005 and then began an increase starting in 2012, but permits issued for multifamily project have increased in recent years.

Member Engle asked how many projects have been submitted in recent years.

CDD Rux said that during the recession building permits dropped, however, around 2012-2013 there was an increase in permits due to Hess Creek development. He added that in 2015 and beyond Newberg saw permits for Gracie's Landing, Hazelwood Farm, and Columbia Estates.

Member Harris asked if the 140 permits shown in the data for 2017 was one project.

CDD Rux replied yes, Chehalem Pointe Apartments was a large project and other projects like Springbrook and Church Street Apartments have increased the total amount of multifamily permits submitted in recent years.

Consultant Parker explained that some of the factors affecting housing demand are age, income, and household composition. He said that Newberg saw an increase in population age 60 plus and a decrease in households of families with children. Consultant Parker explained the average income for Newberg is about \$53,000, which affects what households can afford.

Member Harris asked if there has been an increase in average family income from \$53,000 to \$81,000.

Consultant Parker explained that \$81,000 is projection provided by the Department of Housing and Urban Development and that projection includes the entire Portland MSA, which drives up the area's average income.

Member Harris later commented that decreases in income may increase the need for more multifamily homes.

Member Cain replied that smaller homes are not more affordable, as builders can make more with larger homes. She added that condos are no longer popular because phasing is required to be completed before the first unit sells.

Consultant Parker went on to explain median home sales price in Newberg and said prices in Newberg are more affordable than Sherwood, yet more expensive than McMinnville. He added that when looking at the data median rent is close to the top for Newberg and higher rents are seen in Sherwood because of the city's proximity to Portland. He also said that Newberg is a cost-burden community with 41% of the population spending more than 30% of income on housing.

Member Engle asked for clarification on cost-burden households.

Consultant Parker provided the example of a household making \$24,000 per year should not pay more than 30% of its income in rent, which would be no more than \$600 monthly.

Consultant Parker provided information on the preliminary housing forecast and commented that 10,816 people are expected to be in Newberg between 2020 and 2040 with a population over 36,000 by 2040. He then went on to say there is a deficit in the number of units for people making less than \$40,000 per year and people making more than \$100,000 per year. He also noted 25% of people live and work in Newberg with more than 6,000 people commuting in to the city for work and more than 7,400 people commuting out.

Member Engle asked if there is a sense of who is coming in and who is leaving.

Consultant Parker said specific data on people coming in and out of the city is confidential.

CDD Rux added that many employees come from McMinnville, Sherwood, the Salem area, and Tualatin.

Member Engle explained that there are people with lower incomes coming in to the city and many employees can't afford to live in Newberg. He added that there has been more hiring of high school students, but this has also led to more turn over.

Consultant Parker went on to explain that Newberg also has an underbuilt gap, as approximately 200 permits per year should be issued to meet future housing needs. He added that Newberg will need to develop strategies to meet the future housing needs of the community.

Implications of various factors affecting housing:

- More one person households due to growth in older households
- Additional demand for smaller units, for ownership and rental, and assisted living facilities
- Growth in households with families (Millennials) will result in demand for comparatively affordable units for ownership and rental
- Very few households can afford home sale prices
- Demand for greater ranges of housing types

Member Harris asked if there can be an increase the availability of land.

CDD Rux explained that rezoning land isn't that simple and added that there are some lands that have designations in place, but the market has not responded to them.

Member Cain commented that R-1 lands are not developing because of the costs of adding infrastructure.

Member Young added that in theory if land were upzoned, the costs may pencil out.

Consultant Parker noted that Newberg has a set target density and the city has been exceeding density in recent years. He added Newberg, however, has seen a deficit in lower income housing in recent years.

Additional comments and preliminary recommendations:

- Promote multifamily housing more
- Work to increase housing mix
- Continue to disperse multifamily housing
- Provide data showing density and aggregate density to better understand implications
- Make attached single-family housing more attractive to the community
- Add an additional column reflecting Newberg's actions to Housing Policy Alternatives
- Provide a recap of the HNA workshop held on the evening of February 27, 2019.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Walker adjourned the meeting at 5:07 pm.

Approved by the HNA Project Advisory Committee this March 14, 2019.

Curt Walker, Chair

Keshia Owens, Assistant Planner

DATE: March 6, 2019
TO: Newberg Housing Needs Analysis Project Advisory Committee
CC: Keshia Owens and Doug Rux, City of Newberg
FROM: Bob Parker and Margaret Raimann, ECONorthwest
SUBJECT: UPDATED DRAFT: NEWBERG HOUSING POLICIES AND ACTIONS

Note to reviewers: This document has been updated since PAC meeting #2. Specifically, the content in Appendix A was updated to include notes about the policies that the City of Newberg has adopted or is in the process of addressing.

The Department of Land Conservation and Development contracted ECONorthwest to develop a Housing Needs Analysis for the City of Newberg. The Housing Needs Analysis will determine whether the City of Newberg has enough land to accommodate 20-years of population and housing growth. The Housing Needs Analysis will provide the basis for an update to the City's Comprehensive Plan Housing Element, as well as development of an action plan to implement the Housing policies.

This memorandum presents Newberg's existing housing policies for discussion with the Project Advisory Committee (PAC) at the February, March, and June meetings. Our expectation is that these policies may be revised or substituted based on comments from the PAC, comments from the public at the May 15 Open House, and comments from the City of Newberg's Planning Commission or City Council.

This memorandum discusses housing affordability. It distinguishes between two types of affordable housing: (1) housing affordable to very low-income and extremely low-income households and (2) housing affordable to low-income and middle-income households. The following describes these households, based on information from the Newberg Housing Needs Analysis.

- **Very low-income and extremely low-income households** are those who have an income of 50% or less of Yamhill County Median Family Income (MFI)¹ which is an annual household income of \$40,700. About 35% of Newberg's households fit into this category. They can afford a monthly housing cost of \$1,018 or less.² Development of housing affordable to households at this income level is generally accomplished through development of government-subsidized income-restricted housing.
- **Low-income and middle-income households** are those who have income of 50% to 120% of Yamhill County's MFI or income between \$40,700 to \$97,680. About 44% of

¹ Median Family Income is determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. In 2018, Yamhill County's MFI was \$81,400.

² This assumes that households pay less than 30% of their gross income on housing costs, including rent or mortgage, utilities, home insurance, and property taxes.

Newberg’s households fit into this category. They can afford a monthly housing cost of \$840 to \$2,000. The private housing market may develop housing affordable to households in this group, especially for the higher income households in the group.

Summary and Schedule of Actions

Note to reviewers: This section will present a summary of the implementation actions and the proposed schedule for the actions. It will be in the form of a matrix, with actions.

Revised Housing Policies

Note to reviewers: This section will present the revised housing goal(s), policies, objectives, and actions. Actions will include implementation steps and priority.

Policies, Objectives, and Actions

POLICY 1:

Objective 1.1:

Action 1.1a:

Implementation Steps:

Priority:

Newberg's Existing Comprehensive Plan Policies

The most recent update to Newberg's Comprehensive Plan Housing Element was adopted in January 2006.

Housing Goal

Newberg's existing comprehensive plan includes the following housing goal:

To provide for diversity in the type, density and location of housing within the City to ensure there is an adequate supply of affordable housing units to meet the needs of City residents of various income levels.

Residential Development Goals, objectives and Policies

The following goals, objectives, and policies are copied from Newberg's comprehensive plan:

1. Density Policies

- a. Density rather than housing type shall be the most important development criteria and shall be used to classify different types of residential areas on the plan.
- b. Target densities shall be as follows:

<u>Units Per Classification</u>	<u>Gross Acre*</u>
Urban Low Density	4.4
Urban Medium Density	9
Urban High Density	16.5

**Includes a 25 percent allowance for streets, walkways and other right-of-ways, utilities, small open spaces, preservation of resources, and similar features.*

- c. In determining net residential densities, developers may be given density credit for land donated and accepted by the City for needed public facilities.
- d. The City encourages the creation of affordable housing through density bonuses. Developers may be given density bonuses for projects meeting minimum City standards for housing affordability and design, as defined under subsection 3, Housing Mix and Affordability.. (Ordinance 2006-2634, January 3, 2006, Ordinance 2010-2730, October 18, 2010)
- e. In determining net residential densities, developers may be given density credit for land donated and accepted by the City for needed public facilities.

2. Location Policies

- a. Medium and high density areas should be located for immediate access to collector streets or minor arterials and should not cause traffic to move through low density

areas. High density areas should be easily accessible to arterial streets. They should also be located near commercial services and public open spaces.

- b. While the policies in (a) above are desirable, they are not absolute requirements and are a lower priority than the goal of dispersing R-3 multi-family housing throughout the City. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)
- c. The City will encourage medium density housing in and adjacent to the commercial core of the Riverfront District and lower intensity residential uses in the western portions of the Riverfront District. (Ordinance 2002-2564, April 15, 2002; Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)

3. Mix Policies

AFFORDABLE HOUSING means a dwelling unit that provides housing for a family or individual(s) with a household income less than the median household income for the Newberg area, such that a household pays no more than 30 percent of its annual income on housing (rent/mortgage, utilities, property taxes). Affordable housing may include a care home for low-income individuals. Affordability can be assured through deed-restriction or other recorded documents that specify qualifying income of buyers or renters, and limiting sales price, rent levels and appreciation. Affordable housing may also include small, market-rate dwelling units (e.g., studios, apartments and accessory dwelling units). (Ordinance 2010-2730, October 18, 2010).

- a. The City will encourage innovative approaches to solving the problem of meeting low income housing needs. Such approaches may include, but are not limited to the following: rent subsidies, federally funded development under HUD programs, state and regional housing programs.
- b. Multi-family housing should not be concentrated within particular areas of the City. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)
- c. Manufactured dwellings shall be recognized as a source of affordable housing.
- d. Modular housing (prefabricated structures) meeting all building codes and placed on permanent foundations shall be treated as single-family units. They will be subject to the same location and density requirements as other single-family dwellings. Manufactured housing on individual lots shall be subject to special development standards to assure design consistency and compatibility. (Ordinance 2380, June 6, 1994).

- e. Manufactured homes shall be permitted in the following locations: 1) manufactured dwelling and mobile home parks, 2) manufactured home subdivisions, and 3) individual lots within all residential districts when units meet manufactured home standards. Manufactured dwellings shall be allowed in manufactured dwelling parks, mobile home parks and manufactured home subdivisions when units meet the provisions of the Development Code. (Ordinance 2380, June 6, 1994, Ordinance 2011-2747, September 8, 2011).
- f. The City shall ensure that enough land is planned for manufactured homes, particularly in conjunction with transportation corridors.
- g. Home occupations shall be permitted provided that such uses are compatible with adjoining residential uses and there are no outward manifestations of the business.
- h. To reduce distances between land uses, a mixture of all compatible uses will be encouraged. As such, convenience commercial areas may be located within residential districts provided they meet special development standards.
- i. The City shall encourage the provision of affordable subsidized housing for low- and very low-income households, which are defined as those earning between 50 percent and 80 percent, and those earning 50 percent or less, of the median household income in Newberg. (Ordinance 2010-2730, October 18, 2010)
- j. The City shall encourage innovation in housing types and design as a means of offering a greater variety of housing and reducing housing costs.
- k. The City shall encourage an adequate supply of multi-family housing dispersed throughout the City to meet the needs of renters. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)
- l. The City shall encourage residential occupancy of upper floors within multi-story commercial buildings.
- m. Within the urban area, land use policies will attempt to provide a broad range of residential uses and encourage innovative development techniques.
- n. The City will encourage housing development in commercial areas within the Riverfront District on upper floors, above ground floor commercial, office, or retail spaces. (Ordinance 2002-2564, April 15, 2002)
- o. The City has adopted a comprehensive approach to meeting local housing needs that balances density, design, and flexibility in code standards and procedures. The City shall use development incentives such as density bonuses, flexible development standards, and streamlined review procedures to stimulate or require the production and preservation of affordable housing. (replaces old policy "o")
- p. The City shall create a local housing trust fund for the purpose of encouraging the production and retention of affordable housing in Newberg.
- q. The City shall provide financial incentives for affordable housing, such as system development charge deferrals or waivers, permit application fee reductions or

waivers, and land cost write-downs or donations for qualified affordable housing developments. These incentives could be paid by a housing trust fund.

- r. The City shall support the retention of affordable housing through public education, planning, zoning and community development programs.
- s. The City shall support state legislative efforts that strengthen tenant rights, for example, by ensuring relocation costs and replacement housing are addressed when manufactured home parks close and when low-income housing is converted to other uses.
- t. The City shall support state legislative efforts to expand the range of regulatory tools (e.g., inclusionary housing) and non-regulatory tools available to cities in meeting local housing needs.
- u. The City shall build understanding and support for affordable housing through educational forums with residents and employers, pre-application consultations with developers, and through local housing studies.
- v. The City shall work with local affordable housing providers in developing an overall strategy for meeting Newberg's housing needs.
- w. City resources shall be directed toward assisting public and private entities in producing and preserving affordable housing throughout the community.
- x. Where large parcels or groups of parcels are to be brought into the urban growth boundary and designated low or medium density residential, the City shall apply a mixture of residential designations, to include some HDR-designated lands, consistent with the policy of distributing multi-family housing throughout the community. Such designations shall be applied to portions of the property that are most suitable for high density development.

For the purposes of this policy, "large" is defined as an area greater than 15 net acres, after subtracting for land in stream corridor overlays. "Some" is defined as 10% of the net size of the application. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)

- y. Where large LDR or MDR designated parcels or groups of parcels are to be annexed, the applicant(s) shall concurrently apply for a comprehensive plan map amendment to include some HDR-designated/R-3 zoned lands, consistent with the policy of distributing R-3 multi-family housing throughout the community. Such zoning shall be applied to portions of the property that are most suitable for high density development.

For the purposes of this policy, "large" is defined as an area greater than 15 net acres, after subtracting for land in stream corridor overlays. "Some" is defined as 10% of the net size of the application. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)

- z. The City shall promote and support employer programs that assist employees to secure affordable housing. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)

- aa. To the extent possible, the City shall zone residential housing near employment centers. (Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)
- bb. The City shall promote and support public and/or private transit systems that connect housing to employment centers. (Policies o. through x. and z. through ab. Ordinance 20102730, October 18, 2010; Ordinance 2018-2826, May 7, 2018)
- cc. Accessory dwelling units are encouraged where existing single family dwellings are constructed on a lot or parcel as an interior, attached or detached residential structure that is used in connection with or that is accessory to a single-family dwelling. (Ordinance No. 2018-2832, July 2, 2018)

Appendix A. Housing Policy Alternatives

This appendix provides the City with information about potential policies that could be implemented in Newberg to address the City’s housing needs, as well as policies that the City has adopted or is in the process of addressing. Implementing some of the strategies in this appendix may be beyond Newberg’s current staff or financial resources.

Land Use Regulations

The following policies focus on ways in which the City can modify its current land use regulations in order to increase housing affordability and available housing stock. Policies are broken into two categories: those that affect regulatory changes, and those which increase the land available for housing.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Regulatory Changes			
Streamline Zoning Code and other Ordinances	<p>Complexity of zoning, subdivision, and other ordinances can make development more difficult, time consuming, and costly. Streamlining development regulations can result in increased development.</p> <p>As part of the streamlining process, cities may evaluate potential barriers to affordable workforce housing and multifamily housing. Potential barriers may include: height limitations, complexity of planned unit development regulations,</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to moderate. The level of impact on production of housing and housing affordability will depend on the changes made to the zoning code and other ordinances.</p>	<p>Partial – Some streamlining efforts have occurred to the code.</p>
Administrative and Procedural Reforms	<p>Regulatory delay can be a major cost-inducing factor in development. Oregon has specific requirements for review of development applications. However, complicated projects frequently require additional analysis such as traffic impact studies, etc.</p> <p>A key consideration in these types of reforms is how to streamline the review process and still achieve the intended objectives of local development policies.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. The level of impact on production of housing and housing affordability will be small and will depend on the changes made to the city’s procedures.</p>	<p>Partial – Some streamlining efforts have occurred to the code.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Expedited / Fast-tracked Building Permit	Expedite building permits for pre-approved development types or building characteristics (e.g. green buildings).	Scale of Impact - Small.	Partial – Some past efforts on projects but building plans have not been consistent.
Allow Small Residential Lots	<p>Small residential lots are generally less than 5,000 sq. ft. This policy allows individual small lots within a subdivision or short plat. Small lots can be allowed outright in the minimum lot size and dimensions of a zone, or they could be implemented through the subdivision or planned unit development ordinances.</p> <p>This policy is intended to increase density and lower housing costs. Small lots limit sprawl, contribute to a more efficient use of land, and promote densities that can support transit. Small lots also provide expanded housing ownership opportunities to broader income ranges and provide additional variety to available housing types.</p>	Scale of Impact – Small to moderate. Cities have adopted minimum lot sizes as small as 3,000 sq. ft. However, it is uncommon to see entire subdivisions of lots this small. Small lots typically get mixed in with other lot sizes.	Fully – R-1 allows 5,000 sq. ft, R-2 allows 3,000 sq. ft, PUD allows flexibility on lot size.
Mandate Maximum Lot Sizes	<p>This policy places an upper bound on lot size and a lower bound on density in single-family zones. For example, a residential zone with a 6,000 sq. ft. minimum lot size might have an 8,000 sq. ft. maximum lot size yielding an effective net density range between 5.4 and 7.3 dwelling units per net acre.</p> <p>This approach ensures minimum densities in residential zones by limiting lot size. It places bounds on building at less than maximum allowable density. Maximum lot sizes can promote appropriate urban densities, efficiently use limited land resources, and reduce sprawl development.</p>	Scale of Impact—Small to moderate. Mandating maximum lot size may be most appropriate in areas where the market is building at substantially lower densities than are allowed or in cities that do not have minimum densities.	Partially – R-1 has average lot size upper limit of 10,000 sq. ft., R-2 has average lot size upper limit of 5,000 sq. ft.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Mandate Minimum Residential Densities	<p>This policy is typically applied in single-family residential zones and places a lower bound on density. Minimum residential densities in single-family zones are typically implemented through maximum lot sizes. In multifamily zones, they are usually expressed as a minimum number of dwelling units per net acre. Such standards are typically implemented through zoning code provisions in applicable residential zones.</p> <p>This policy increases land-holding capacity. Minimum densities promote developments consistent with local comprehensive plans and growth assumptions. They reduce sprawl development, eliminate underbuilding in residential areas, and make provision of services more cost effective.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact—Small to moderate. Increasing minimum densities and ensuring clear urban conversion plans may have a small to moderate impact depending on the observed amount of underbuild and the minimum density standard.</p>	<p>Partially – Have targets but not minimum densities.</p>
Increase Allowable Residential Densities	<p>This approach seeks to increase holding capacity by increasing allowable density in residential zones. It gives developers the option of building to higher densities. This approach would be implemented through the local zoning or development code. This strategy is most commonly applied to multifamily residential zones.</p> <p>For cities with maximum densities, consider removing maximum allowable densities. This change may be most relevant.</p> <p>Higher densities increase residential landholding capacity. Higher densities, where appropriate, provide more housing, a greater variety of housing options, and a more efficient use of scarce land resources. Higher densities also reduce sprawl development and make the provision of services more cost effective.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact—Small to moderate. This tool can be most effective in increasing densities where very low density is currently allowed or in areas where a city wants to encourage higher density development.</p>	<p>Partially – relates to stream corridor density transfer.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Allow Clustered Residential Development	Clustering allows developers to increase density on portions of a site, while preserving other areas of the site. Clustering is a tool most commonly used to preserve natural areas or avoid natural hazards during development. It uses characteristics of the site as a primary consideration in determining building footprints, access, etc. Clustering is typically processed during the site review phase of development review.	Scale of Impact—Moderate. Clustering can increase density, however, if other areas of the site that could otherwise be developed are not developed, the scale of impact can be reduced.	Partially – Clustering can occur through the PUD process.
Reduced Parking Requirements	Jurisdictions can reduce or eliminate minimum off-street parking requirements, as well as provide flexibility in meeting parking requirements. Reducing parking requirements positively impact development of any type of housing, from single-family detached to multifamily housing. Reduced parking requirements are most frequently used in conjunction of development of subsidized affordable housing, but cities like Portland have reduced or eliminated parking requirements for market-based multifamily housing in specific circumstances.	Scale of Impact—Small to Moderate. The City could require the developer to prove the need and public benefit or reducing parking requirements to increase housing affordability.	Partially – Some flexibility for multi-family and developments proximate to transit.
Reduce Street Width Standards	This policy is intended to reduce land used for streets and slow down traffic. Street standards are typically described in development and/or subdivision ordinances. Reduced street width standards are most commonly applied on local streets in residential zones. This strategy could be applied to alleys, when required, to ensure that alleys are relatively narrow to reduce development and maintenance costs. Narrower streets make more land available to housing and economic-based development. Narrower streets can also reduce long-term street maintenance costs.	Scale of Impact—Small. This policy is most effective in cities that require relatively wide streets.	Partially – Have a limited residential street standard reducing street width.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Preserving Existing Housing Supply	<p>Housing preservation ordinances typically condition the demolition or replacement of certain housing types on the replacement of such housing elsewhere, fees in lieu of replacement, or payment for relocation expenses of existing tenants. Preservation of existing housing may focus on preservation of smaller, more affordable housing. Approaches include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing preservation ordinances • Housing replacement ordinances • Single-room-occupancy ordinances • Regulating demolitions 	<p>Scale of Impact—Small. Preserving small existing housing can make a difference in the availability of affordable housing in a city but it is limited by the existing stock of housing, especially smaller, more affordable housing.</p>	<p>None</p>
Inclusionary Zoning	<p>Inclusionary zoning policies tie development approval to, or provide regulatory incentives for, the provision of low- and moderate-income housing as part of a proposed development. Mandatory inclusionary zoning requires developers to provide a certain percentage of low-income housing. Incentive-based inclusionary zoning provides density or other types of incentives.</p> <p>The price of low-income housing passed on to purchasers of market-rate housing. Inclusionary zoning impedes the "filtering" process where residents purchase new housing, freeing existing housing for lower-income residents.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact—Small to moderate. Inclusionary zoning has recently been made legal in Oregon. The scale of impact would depend on the inclusionary zoning policies adopted by the city.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Increasing Land Available for Housing			
Redesignate or rezone land for housing	<p>The types of land rezoned for housing are vacant or partially vacant low-density residential and employment land rezoned to multifamily or mixed use. In rezoning land, it is important to choose land in a compatible location, such as land that can be a buffer between an established neighborhood and other denser uses or land adjacent to existing commercial uses. When rezoning employment land, it is best to select land with limited employment capacity (i.e., smaller parcels) in areas where multifamily housing would be compatible (i.e., along transit corridors or in employment centers that would benefit from new housing).</p> <p>This policy change increases opportunity for comparatively affordable multifamily housing and provides opportunities for mixing residential and other compatible uses.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to large: Scale of impact depends on the amount and location of land rezoned and the densities allowed on the rezoned land.</p>	<p>Partially – Comp Plan and Zone changes have occurred, Comp Plan policy to distribute multi-family throughout city.</p>
Encourage multifamily residential development in commercial zones	<p>This tool seeks to encourage denser multifamily housing as part of mixed-use projects in commercial zones. Such policies lower or eliminate barriers to residential development in commercial or mixed-use zones. They include: eliminating requirements for non-residential uses in commercial zones (e.g., requirements for ground floor retail) or requiring minimum residential densities.</p> <p>This policy can increase opportunities for multifamily development on commercial or mixed-use zones or increase the density of that development.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Small to moderate: Many cities already encourage multifamily housing in commercial zones. Further encouraging multifamily housing in commercial zones would likely have a small impact, as multifamily housing is allowed in many of the commercial areas where it would be desirable.</p>	<p>Fully – The C-2 zone allows residential with Conditional Use Permit. C-3 zone allows residential outright.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Increasing Land Available for Housing			
Promoting Infill Development	<p>This policy seeks to maximize the use of lands that are fully developed or underdeveloped. Make use of existing infrastructure by identifying and implementing policies that (1) improve market opportunities, and (2) reduce impediments to development in areas suitable for infill or redevelopment.</p> <p>Regulatory approaches to promote infill development include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative streamlining • Allowing accessory dwelling units (ADUs) • Allowing small lots • Density bonuses 	<p>Scale of Impact – Small. In general, infill development, especially small-scale infill, is more expensive than other types of residential development. Some types of infill development, such as ADUs, may provide opportunities for relatively affordable housing.</p>	<p>Partially – Allow ADUs, Planned Unit Development allows small lot sizes.</p>
Transfer or Purchase of Development Rights	<p>This policy is intended to move development from sensitive areas to more appropriate areas. Development rights are transferred to “receiving zones” and can be traded and can increase overall densities. This policy is usually implemented through a subsection of the zoning code and identifies both sending zones (zones where decreased densities are desirable) and receiving zones (zones where increased densities are allowed).</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to moderate. Actual impact will depend on the extent to which the policy is used. TDRs may have little impact on overall densities since overall density is not changed; rather it is moved around. TDRs can be used to encourage higher densities in selected areas.</p>	<p>Partially – Allow transfer of some density out of stream corridors.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Increasing Land Available for Housing			
Provide Density Bonuses to Developers	<p>The local government allows developers to build housing at densities higher than are usually allowed by the underlying zoning. Density bonuses are commonly used as a tool to encourage greater housing density in desired areas, provided certain requirements are met. This strategy is generally implemented through provisions of the local zoning code and is allowed in appropriate residential zones.</p> <p>Bonus densities can also be used to encourage development of low-income or workforce affordable housing. An affordable housing bonus would allow for more housing units to be built than allowed by zoning if the proposed project provides a certain number of affordable units.</p>	Scale of Impact - Small.	None

Increase the types of housing

The following policies focus on ways in which the City can increase the types of housing available in order to increase housing affordability. Policies focus on increasing housing density or the number of residents within existing City lots.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Allow Duplexes, Cottage housing, Townhomes, Row Houses, and Tri- and Quad-Plexes in single-family zones	<p>Allowing these housing types can increase overall density of residential development and may encourage a higher percentage of multifamily housing types. This approach would be implemented through the local zoning or development code and would list these housing types as outright allowable uses in appropriate residential zones.</p> <p>These housing types provide additional affordable housing options and allow more residential units than would be achieved by detached homes alone.</p>	Scale of Impact - Small. Allowing these types of housing in more zoning districts may provide a relatively small number of new, relatively affordable, housing opportunities.	Partially – Allow duplexes in R-1 and R-2 zones. Allow Tri- and Quad-Plexes in R-2 zone.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Permit Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) in single-family zones	<p>Communities use a variety of terms to refer to the concept of accessory dwellings: secondary residences, “granny” flats, and single-family conversions, among others. Regardless of the title, all of these terms refer to an independent dwelling unit that share, at least, a tax lot in a single-family zone. Some accessory dwelling units share parking and entrances. Some may be incorporated into the primary structure; others may be in accessory structures. Accessory dwellings can be distinguished from “shared” housing in that the unit has separate kitchen and bathroom facilities.</p> <p>As of July 1, 2018, ORS 197.312 requires cities to allow at least one ADU for each detached single-family dwelling in areas zoned for detached single-family dwellings.</p> <p>Jurisdictions can make development of ADUs more likely by limiting restrictive standards and procedures, such as reducing systems development charges for ADUs or allowing ADUs regardless of where the primary dwelling is owner-occupied.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. Oregon law recently changed to require cities to allow ADUs.</p>	<p>Fully – ADUs allowed where detached single family allowed.</p>
Allow small or “tiny” homes	<p>“Tiny” homes are typically dwellings that are 500 square feet or smaller. Some tiny houses are as small as 100 to 150 square feet. They include stand-alone units or very small multifamily units.</p> <p>Tiny homes can be sited in a variety of ways: locating them in RV parks (they are similar in many respects to Park Model RVs), tiny home subdivisions, or allowing them as accessory dwelling units.</p> <p>Smaller homes allow for smaller lots, increasing land use efficiency. They provide opportunities for affordable housing, especially for homeowners.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small: Scale of impact depends on regulation of tiny homes, where they are allowed, and market demand for tiny homes.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Allow Co-housing	<p>Co-housing is a type of intentional community that provides individual dwelling units, both attached and detached, along with shared community facilities. Members of a co-housing community agree to participate in group activities and members are typically involved in the planning and design of the co-housing project. Private homes contain all the features of conventional homes, but residents also have access to extensive common facilities, such as open space, courtyards, a playground, and a common house.</p> <p>This approach would be implemented through the local zoning or development code and would list these housing types as outright allowable uses in appropriate residential zones.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. While co-housing may be able to achieve multi-family housing densities, it is unlikely that this housing type would make up a large portion of new housing stock, thereby diminishing its impact.</p>	<p>None</p>

Financial assistance to homeowners and renters

The following policies focus on ways in which the City and other community stakeholders can provide financial assistance to potential residents in order to increase housing affordability and accessibility for multiple income groups.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Home ownership programs	<p>Cities use a variety of programs to assist with homeownership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homebuyer Assistance Programs. These Down Payment Assistance loans help low- or moderate-income households cover down payment and closing costs to purchase homes on the open market. These programs either give loans or grants, most frequently to first time homebuyers. • Inclusionary Housing Program. Some cities have an Inclusionary Housing Ordinance (IH) requiring that new residential development contribute at least 20% of the total units as permanently affordable housing. Options for meeting this requirement can allow the affordable units to be located on or off site. Cities that use inclusionary housing generally have programs to ensure that housing continues to be affordable over the long-term. • Partnerships. Cities often work with partnerships with nonprofit agencies that provide homeownership assistance. 	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. While homeownership programs are important, limited funds mean that the number of households that benefit from homeownership programs is relatively small.</p>	<p>Partially – Partnership with Newberg Area Habitat for Humanity and Yamhill County Housing Authority.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Rental assistance programs	<p>Cities use a variety of programs to provide rental assistances</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 8 Voucher: This assistance subsidizes the difference between 30 to 40 percent of a household's income and the area's Fair Market Rent (FMR). • Rental assistance programs. These programs offer a range of services, such as assistance with security deposits. • Rent Control. Rent control regulations control the level and increases in rent, over time resulting in rents that are at or below market rates. • Partnerships. Cities often work with partnerships with nonprofit agencies that provide rental assistance. 	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. Renter assistance programs are important. However, limited city funds mean that the number of households that benefit from rental assistance from city funding is relatively small.</p>	<p>Partially – Through Yamhill County Housing Authority.</p>
Housing Rehabilitation Programs	<p>Cities often offer home rehabilitation programs, which provide loans to low- and moderate-income households for rehabilitation projects such as making energy efficiency, code, and safety repairs. Some programs provide funding to demolish and completely reconstruct substandard housing.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small. Limited fund availability means that relatively few households will be able to access housing rehabilitation funds.</p>	<p>Partially – City has Housing Trust Fund with housing rehabilitation program. Of grants and loans.</p>

Lowering development or operational costs

The following policies focus on ways in which the City and other entities involved in development can provide financial assistance to lower development or operational costs in a city in order to increase housing affordability and available housing stock.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Programs or policies to lower the cost of development			
Parcel assembly	<p>Parcel assembly involves the city’s ability to purchase lands for the purpose of land aggregation or site assembly. It can directly address the issues related to limited multifamily lands being available in appropriate locations (e.g., near arterials and commercial services). Typical goals of parcel assembly programs are: (1) to provide sites for rental apartments in appropriate locations close to services and (2) to reduce the cost of developing multifamily rental units</p> <p>Parcel assembly can lower the cost of multifamily development because the City is able to purchase land in strategic locations over time. Parcel assembly is often associated with development of government-subsidized affordable housing, where the City partners with nonprofit affordable housing developers.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to moderate: Parcel assembly is most likely to have an effect on a localized area, providing a few opportunities for new multifamily housing development over time.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Land Banking	<p>Land banks support housing development by reducing or eliminating land cost from development, with the goal of increasing the affordability of housing. They can take several forms. Many are administered by a non-profit or non-governmental entity with a mission of managing a portfolio of properties to support affordable housing development over many years or decades. Ideally, a land bank is set up to manage financial and administrative resources, including strategic property disposal, for the explicit purpose of supporting affordable housing development. Cities can partner with non-profits or sometimes manage their own land banks. Cities may also donate, sell, or lease publicly-owned land for the development of affordable housing even without a formal 'land bank' organization.</p> <p>Land banks are purposed for short-term ownership of lands. Lands acquired are often vacant, blighted, or environmentally-contaminated. Land banks may also acquire lands with title defects or of which derelict structures sit. Lands are eventually transferred to a new owner for reuse and redevelopment.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to moderate: A land bank will have the biggest impact on production of low- and moderate-income affordable housing. Considering how difficult it is to build this type of affordable housing and the level of need for affordable housing, a land trust could increase nonprofits' capacity to build affordable housing.</p>	None
Land Trusts	<p>A land trust is typically a nonprofit organization that owns land and sells or leases the housing on the land to income-qualified buyers. Because the land is not included in the housing price for tenants / buyers, land trusts can achieve below-market pricing. Land trusts are most commonly used as a method for supporting affordable home ownership goals.</p> <p>Land trusts are purposed for long-term stewardship of lands and buildings. Lands / buildings acquired may have need for remediation or redevelopment. Lands / buildings may have also been acquired to preserve affordability, prevent deferred maintenance, or protect against foreclosure</p>	<p>Scale of Impact - Small to moderate: A land trust will have the biggest impact on production of low- and moderate-income affordable housing. Considering how difficult it is to build this type of affordable housing and the level of need for affordable housing, a land trust could increase nonprofits' capacity to build affordable housing.</p>	None

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Public Land Disposition	The public sector sometimes controls land that has been acquired with resources that enable it to dispose of that land for private and/or nonprofit redevelopment. Land acquired with funding sources such as tax increment, EB-5, or through federal resources such as CDBG or HUD Section 108 can be sold or leased at below market rates for various projects to help achieve redevelopment objectives. This increases development feasibility by reducing development costs and gives the public sector leverage to achieve its goals via a development agreement process with the developer. Funding can come from Tax Increment, CDBG/HUD 108, or EB-5.	Scale of Impact – Small. Depends on whether the City has surplus land that would be appropriate for future housing development.	None
Reduced / Waived Building Permit fee, Planning fees, or SDCs	Programs that reduce various development fees as an incentive to induce qualifying types of development or building features. There are a number of avenues to seek reduced or waived fees. For example, stormwater improvements can be made through the Commercial Stormwater Fee Reduction. There are commonly used tools, often implemented in conjunction with development agreements or other development negotiation processes.	Scale of Impact - Small.	Partially – City program to waive some SDCs for two low income units a year.
SDC Financing Credits	May help to offset the an SDC charge, which is a one-time fee that is issued when there is new development or a change in use. SDC financing enables developers to stretch their SDC payment over time, thereby reducing upfront costs. Alternately, credits allow developers to make necessary improvements to the site in lieu of paying SDCs. Note that the City can control its own SDCs, but often small cities manage them on behalf of other jurisdictions including the County and special districts. SDCs are granted when the project makes lasting improvements, such as improving roads, reducing number of trips, create or improve parks or recreational centers, and permanently removing water services.	Scale of Impact – Small. The City may consider changes in SDCs to allow financing but the City would want to ensure that the impact should be spread-out and non-negatively impact one entity.	Fully – City has SDC financing program.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Sole Source SDCs	Retains SDCs paid by developers within a limited geographic area that directly benefits from new development, rather than being available for use city-wide. This enables SDC-eligible improvements within the area that generates those funds to keep them for these improvements. Improvements within smaller areas can enhance the catalytic and redevelopment value of the area. This tool can also be blended with other resources such as LIDs and Urban Renewal (Tax Increment Financing). Funding can come from an SDC fund or general fund. In some cases, there may be no financial impact. The housing can come in the form of student, low-income, or workforce housing.	Scale of Impact – Small. Depends on how the tool is implemented and whether it is used with other tools, such as LIDs or Urban Renewal.	None
Fees or Other Dedicated Revenue	Directs user fees into an enterprise fund that provides dedicated revenue to fund specific projects. Examples of those types of funds can include parking revenue funds, stormwater/sewer funds, street funds, etc. The City could also use this program to raise private sector funds for a district parking garage wherein the City could facilitate a program allowing developers to pay fees-in-lieu or “parking credits” that developers would purchase from the City for access “entitlement” into the shared supply. The shared supply could meet initial parking need when the development comes online while also maintaining the flexibility to adjust to parking need over time as elasticity in the demand patterns develop in the district and influences like alternative modes are accounted for. Funding can come from residents, businesses, and developers. Also, these fees or revenues allow for new revenue streams into the City.	Scale of Impact – Small.	None

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Reimbursement District	<p>A Reimbursement District is a cost sharing mechanism, typically initiated by a developer. The purpose is to provide a reimbursement method to the developer of an infrastructure improvement, through fees paid by property owners at the time the property benefits from the improvement. A developer applies to create a Reimbursement District by demonstrating benefit to properties beyond their own. In addition, the size of the improvement must be measurably greater than would otherwise be ordinarily required for the improvement</p> <p>Eligible Reimbursement District projects typically include (but are not limited to) construction or connections of a sewer, water, storm water or street improvements. Applications typically include: a fee sufficient to cover the cost of administrative review, a description of the project, properties that would be impacted, and a detailed methodology and calculation of how the estimated costs would be reimbursed by payments from benefitted properties over a specified timeframe. A report from the City Engineer is generated in review of the submitted application. After a public hearing process, the council will approve, reject or modify the proposal. The approval of a Reimbursement District results in a resolution and distribution of notice among benefitted properties before construction can begin.</p> <p>Benefitted properties must pay the Reimbursement Fee when they make a physical connection to the improvement (or in the case of a sewer project, when the benefitted property creates an impervious surface that drains into the public sewer) within the Reimbursement District Area. Reimbursement fees are collected by the City and are distributed to the developer for the duration of the Reimbursement District, which are typically 10-15 years.</p> <p>Paid by benefitted properties at the time the property benefits from the improvement, typically at connection to the sewer, water or storm drain system.</p>	Scale of Impact – Small to moderate.	Fully – City has program for reimbursement districts.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Linkage Fees	<p>Linkage fees are charges on new development, usually commercial and / or industrial development only, that can be used to fund affordable housing. To implement them, a city must undertake a nexus study that identifies a legal connection between new jobs housed in the developments, the wages those jobs will pay, and the availability of housing affordable to those employees.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can be used for acquisition and rehabilitation of existing affordable units. • Can be used for new construction. 	Scale of Impact – Small.	None
Tax abatement programs that decrease operational costs by decreasing property taxes			
Vertical Housing Tax Abatement (Locally Enabled and Managed)	<p>The 2017 Legislature passed legislation moving the administration of Vertical Housing Program from Oregon Housing and Community Services (OHCS) to the local City and County beginning Oct 6th, 2017. OHCS no longer administers this program.</p> <p>The legislation subsidizes "mixed-use" projects to encourage dense development or redevelopment by providing a partial property tax exemption on increased property value for qualified developments. The exemption varies in accordance with the number of residential floors on a mixed-use project with a maximum property tax exemption of 80 percent over 10 years. An additional property tax exemption on the land may be given if some or all of the residential housing is for low-income persons (80 percent of area median income or below).</p>	Scale of Impact – Small to moderate. The design of the tax abatement program will impact whether and how many developers use the tax abatement, which will affect the scale of the impact.	None

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
<p>Multiple-Unit Limited Tax Exemption Program (Locally Enabled and Managed)</p>	<p>Through the multifamily tax exemption, a jurisdiction can incent diverse housing options in urban centers lacking in housing choices or workforce housing units. Through a competitive process, multi-unit projects can receive a property tax exemption for up to ten-years on structural improvements to the property. Though the state enables the program, each City has an opportunity to shape the program to achieve its goals by controlling the geography of where the exemption is available, application process and fees, program requirements, criteria (return on investment, sustainability, inclusion of community space, percentage affordable or workforce housing, etc.), and program cap. The City can select projects on a case-by-case basis through a competitive process.</p> <p>The passing of HB 2377 - Multiunit Rental Housing Tax Exemption allows cities and counties to create a property tax exemption for newly rehabilitated or newly constructed multi-unit rental housing within their boundaries depending on the number of units made available to low-income households, for up to 10 consecutive years. The bill was crafted to strengthen the connection to affordability by requiring cities and counties to establish a schedule in which the number of years an exemption is provided increases directly with the percentage of units rented to households with an annual income at or below 120 percent of MFI, and at monthly rates that are affordable to such households. While not specifically referenced in the measure, ORS 308.701 defines “Multi-unit rental housing” as: “(a) residential property consisting of four or more dwelling units” and; “does not include assisted living facilities.”</p> <p>All new multifamily units that are built or renovated that offer rent below 120% of AMI are potentially eligible for this tax exemption. In a city with an AMI of \$55,000 (common outside of Portland), that's rent of \$1,650 per month or less. The tax exemption is for all taxing districts which is administered by the City. Due to this, smaller jurisdictions may have more trouble managing this program.</p> <p>Local taxing jurisdictions that agree to participate—cities, school districts, counties, etc.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Small to moderate. The design of the tax abatement program will impact whether and how many developers use the tax abatement, which will affect the scale of the impact.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Affordable Housing Property Tax Abatement	<p>Incentivizes construction of affordable housing by offering property tax abatements.</p> <p>Since 1985, the State of Oregon has allowed for affordable housing property tax abatements when they are sought separately by non-profits that develop and operate affordable rental housing. Only the residential portion of a property located within a City that is used to house very low-income people, or space that is used directly in providing housing for its low-income residents is eligible for a property tax exemption.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Small to moderate. The design of the tax abatement program will impact whether and how many developers use the tax abatement, which will affect the scale of the impact.</p>	<p>None</p>
Oregon Affordable Housing Tax Credit	<p>Reduces cost of living in affordable, multi-family rental units by awarding a state income tax credit to the owner, who is required to pass the entire amount of the credit along to the residents through a reduction in rent.</p> <p>Provides a state income tax credit for affordable housing equity investments that help reduce the financing costs for multi-family rental units. Applications must demonstrate a 20-year term that the benefit of the tax credit will be entirely passed on to reduce rents for the tenants. The tax credits are provided for affordable housing loans where a lender has reduced the interest rate by up to 4%. The program contains a stipulation that the credit be used solely to reduce rents for tenants for a twenty-year term</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Small. The design of the tax abatement program will impact whether and how many developers use the tax abatement, which will affect the scale of the impact.</p>	<p>None</p>

Funding sources to support residential development

The following policies focus on ways to pay for the costs of implementing the affordable housing programs and infrastructure development.

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Urban Renewal / Tax Increment Finance (TIF)	<p>Tax increment finance revenues are generated by the increase in total assessed value in an urban renewal district from the time the district is first established. As property values increase in the district, the increase in total property taxes (i.e., City, County, school portions) is used to pay off the bonds. When the bonds are paid off, the entire valuation is returned to the general property tax rolls. TIFs defer property tax accumulation by the City and County until the urban renewal district expires or pays off bonds. Over the long term (most districts are established for a period of 20 or more years), the district could produce significant revenues for capital projects. Urban renewal funds can be invested in the form of low-interest loans and/or grants for a variety of capital investments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelopment projects, such as mixed-use or infill housing developments • Economic development strategies, such as capital improvement loans for small or startup businesses which can be linked to family-wage jobs • Streetscape improvements, including new lighting, trees, and sidewalks • Land assembly for public as well as private re-use • Transportation enhancements, including intersection improvements • Historic preservation projects <p>Parks and open spaces</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Moderate. Urban Renewal funding is a flexible tool that allows cities to develop essential infrastructure or provides funding for programs that lower the costs of housing development (such as SDC reductions or low interest loan programs). Portland used Urban Renewal to catalyze redevelopment across the City, including the Pearl District and South Waterfront.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
Construction Excise Tax (CET)	<p>Funds land use planning throughout the region by taxing construction permits.</p> <p>CET is a tax assessed on construction permits issued by local cities and counties. The tax is assessed as a percent of the value of the improvements for which a permit is sought, unless the project is exempted from the tax. In 2016, the Oregon Legislature passed Senate Bill 1533 which permits cities to adopt a construction excise tax (CET) on the value of new construction projects to raise funds for affordable housing projects. CETs may be residential only, commercial only, or residential and commercial. If the City were to adopt a CET, the tax would be up to 1% of the permit value on residential construction and an uncapped rate on commercial and industrial construction. The allowed uses for CET funding are defined by the state statute. The City may retain 4% of funds to cover administrative costs. The funds remaining must be allocated as follows, if the City uses a residential CET:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% must be used for developer incentives (e.g. fee and SDC waivers, tax abatements, etc.) • 35% may be used flexibly for affordable housing programs, as defined by the jurisdiction. • 15% flows to Oregon Housing and Community Services for homeowner programs. <p>If the City implements a CET on commercial or industrial uses, 50% of the funds must be used for allowed developer incentives and the remaining 50% are unrestricted. The rate may exceed 1% if levied on commercial or industrial uses.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Depends on the amount of funding available.</p>	<p>None</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
General Fund and General Obligation (GO) Bonds	<p>Allows funding for a project that is not dependent on revenue from the project to back the bond.</p> <p>City can use general fund monies on hand or can issue bonds backed by the full faith and credit of the city to pay for desired public improvements.</p> <p>Property taxes are increased to pay back the GO bonds.</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Moderate to Large. GO Bonds can be used to develop essential infrastructure or provides funding for programs that lower the costs of housing development (such as SDC reductions or low interest loan programs).</p>	<p>None</p>
Local Improvement District (LID)	<p>Enables a group of property owners to share the cost of a project or infrastructural improvement.</p> <p>A special assessment district where property owners are assessed a fee to pay for capital improvements, such as streetscape enhancements, underground utilities, or shared open space. For residential property, the estimated assessment cannot exceed the pre-improvement value of the property based on assessor records.</p> <p>An ordinance must be passed through a public hearing process which must be supported by a majority of affected property owners. Part of this process includes an estimation of the improvement costs and the portion of those costs in which property owners will be responsible to pay for. The public hearing process allows for LIDs to be challenged by property owners.</p> <p>The City collects the funds and regardless if the actual cost is greater than the estimated cost (on which the assessment was based), the City may make a deficit assessment for the additional cost, which would be prorated among all benefitted properties. Another public hearing would be held, in the event that an additional assessment were placed property owners (due to underestimation).</p>	<p>Scale of Impact – Depends on the amount of funding available.</p>	<p>Fully- City has LID program in place.</p>

Strategy Name	Description	Scale of Impact	Newberg Status
General Fund Grants or Loans	A city can use general fund or tax increment dollars to directly invest in a specific affordable housing project. These grants or loans can serve as gap funding to improve development feasibility. There are several options for using general fund grants or loans, including the potential for bonds to generate upfront revenue that is repaid over time, as recently approved in the City of Portland. Another option is to use general fund dollars to contribute to other programs that are successfully operating, such as non-profit land trusts or even other government agencies that have the administrative capacity to maintain compliance requirements over time, using intergovernmental agreements.	Scale of Impact – Depends on the amount of funding available.	None
Transient Lodging Tax (TLT)	Generates revenue by primarily taxing tourists and guests using temporary lodging services. Taxes for temporary lodging at hotels, motels, campgrounds, and other temporary lodgings. Oregon has a statewide TLT and cities and counties can also charge a local TLT subject to certain limitations. The statutes specify that 70% must be used for tourism promotion or tourism related facilities and 30% is unrestricted in use, and there cannot be a reduction of the total percent of room tax. The state tax is specified at 1.8%; local government tax rates vary as local governments set the rate for their jurisdiction by ordinance. Cities and counties may impose taxes on transient lodging. Alternatively, some cities have an agreement for the county to impose the tax and cities share in a percent of the revenue.	Scale of Impact – Small. The amount of funding from TLT is likely to be relatively small, given that only 30% of TLT funds have unrestricted use.	Partially – City has TLT program. The General Fund portion for allocation is determined through the budgeting process.
CDBG	The Community Development Block Grants program is a flexible program that provides annual grants on a formula basis to both local governments and States. Grants are awarded on a 1, 2, or 3-year period. It is required that at least 70% of the CDGB funds are used for activities that benefit low- and moderate- income. Additionally, each activity must address any threats to health or welfare in the community (for which other funding is unavailable). These funds can be used for acquisition and rehabilitation of existing affordable units, as well as new construction that prioritizes community development efforts.	Scale of Impact – Depends on the amount of funding available.	Partially – Through the State CDBG program. Newberg is not an entitlement city.