

#### **Newberg Council Redistricting**

Council Work Session December 20th, 2021



### Redistricting Process and Requirements

- Data: 2020 Decennial Census Public Law 94-171 Redistricting File
- Total Population
- Population by race/ethnicity
- Population by race/ethnicity for people aged 18 and over
- Tabulated at the census block level



Block Group Census Tract

### Redistricting Process and Requirements

 Criteria: Secretary of State Redistricting Directive, Issued September 9, 2021

Each district, as nearly as practicable, shall:

- Be contiguous;
- Utilize existing geographic or political boundaries;
- Not divide communities of common interest;
- Be connected by transportation links;
- Be of equal population
- No district shall be drawn for the purpose of favoring any political party, incumbent elected official, or other person
- No district shall be drawn for the purpose of diluting the voting strength of any language or ethnic minority group
- Consider newly drawn legislative and congressional district boundaries

For equal population requirement, a 5% deviation from the target population was used.

#### **Additional Considerations**

### From the surveys filled out by council members

Other comments and considerations regarding re-drawing boundaries


- 1. Keep neighborhoods largely intact within districts
- 2. Keep the Edwards School and community intact (District 3)
- 3. The University may be a consideration
- 4. In general, the future of the mill district, as properties are annexed (District 6)
- 5. Jaquith Park and churches be included (District 2)
- 6. Keep mobile home parks and apartments intact, try not to divide (District 2)

# **Current Districts Showing the Deviation from the Target Population** +4.1%


### Development of Alternatives

#### Three alternatives were developed


- Alternative 1: Minimal change
- Alternative 2: Minimal change with District 2 and 3 close to unchanged
- Alternative 3: Keep the dividing line along Hwy 99 between District 5 and 6 and matches the population between districts very closely



Newberg Council Districts Alternative 1						
And Deviation from Target Population (4,190)						
District	Population	Deviation	% Deviation			
1	4130	-60	-1.43			
2	4171	-19	-0.45			
3	4169	-21	-0.5			
4	4327	137	3.27			
5	4229	39	0.93			
6	4112	-78	-1.86			
Total City	25,138					
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 P.L. 94-171 Redistricting File						
Summary of Total Population						



#### **Newberg Council Districts Alternative 2** And Deviation from Target Population (4,190) Deviation Population % Deviation **District** 4276 86 2.05 172 4362 4.11 3 4204 14 0.33 4118 -72 -1.725 4077 -113 -2.74101 6 -89 -2.12**Total City** 25,138 Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 P.L. 94-171 Redistricting File Summary of Total Population





#### **Newberg Council Districts Alternative 3** And Deviation from Target Population (4,190) Deviation % Deviation Population District 4204 14 0.33 4213 23 0.55 1.22 3 4241 51 -1.724118 -72 5 4106 -84 66 6 4256 1.58 **Total City** 25,138 Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 P.L. 94-171 Redistricting File Summary of Total Population

#### Race and Ethnic Analysis

Race and ethnicity data were examined for all alternatives as required by law under the Secretary of State's criteria

- According to the SOS directive: "No district shall be drawn for the purpose of diluting the voting strength of any language or ethnic minority group"
- City-wide, All races other than White and/or Hispanic persons comprise 25% of the population






#### Results of Race/Ethnic Data Analysis

#### Alternative 1: Very Little Impact

 Very little change in racial/ethnic makeup of districts from current boundaries


Current Districts Compared to Alternative 1					
	Current		Alternative 1		
Council	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	
District	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	
1	882	24.9%	1,013	24.5%	
2	1,093	25.1%	1,097	26.3%	
3	1,318	33.7%	1,392	33.4%	
4	800	17.8%	749	17.3%	
5	1,039	26.4%	1,039	24.6%	
6	1,148	23.5%	990	24.1%	
Total	6,280	25.0%	6,280	25.0%	
Combination o	f race and/or ethnicity				



### Results of Race/Ethnic Data Analysis

- Alternative 2: District 5 changes
  - District 5, Percentage of Non-White/Hispanic population is lower by almost 3% -
  - All other Districts within 1%

Current Districts Compared to Alternative 2				
	Current		Alternative 2	
Council	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/
District	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic
1	882	24.9%	1,086	25.4%
2	1,093	25.1%	1,093	25.1%
3	1,318	33.7%	1,388	33.0%
4	800	17.8%	769	18.7%
5	1,039	26.4%	964	23.6%
6	1,148	23.5%	980	23.9%
Total	6,280	25.0%	6,280	25.0%
Combination	of race and/or ethnicity			10



### Results of Race/Ethnic Data Analysis

- Alternative 3: Little Impact
  - All within 1%

Current Districts Compared to Alternative 3					
	Curr	ent	Alternative 3		
Council	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	Total Non-White/	
District	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	And/Or Hispanic	
1	882	24.9%	1,075	25.6%	
2	1,093	25.1%	1,016	24.1%	
3	1,318	33.7%	1,419	33.5%	
4	800	17.8%	716	17.4%	
5	1,039	26.4%	1,086	26.4%	
6	1,148	23.5%	968	22.7%	
Total	6,280	25.0%	6,280	25.0%	
Combination	of race and/or ethnicity				

#### Census data Discrepancy



#### **Next Steps**

- Address comments and concerns on the three alternatives
- Revise accordingly
- Provide an online/interactive map with the three alternatives available.

## Maps in the software – available to show

#### Questions?

